

USC Mrs. T.H. Chan
Division of Occupational Science
and Occupational Therapy

**Student Handbook of Policies and Guidelines for the
PhD in Occupational Science**

Last updated: July 27, 2026

Program Policies and Guidelines

Table of Contents

Section I: Program Overview

Introduction to Chan PhD in Occupational Science Program	July 31, 2025
Program Administration and Associated Staff	July 31, 2025
PhD Program Faculty Roster	July 31, 2025
Program Requirements	July 31, 2025

Section II: Program Processes

Course Plan and Academic Progress Contract	August 15, 2018
Advanced Standing in the PhD program	August 13, 2023
Review of Academic Progress	July 31, 2025
First-Year Screening	July 31, 2025
Teaching Experiential Component	August 13, 2023
Qualifying Exam	July 31, 2025
Dissertation Proposal	September 10, 2021
Dissertation Format	July 31, 2025
Dissertation Defense	July 31, 2025
Dissertation Submission	July 31, 2025

Section III: Mentoring and Guidance

Advising Plan and Memorandum of Understanding	August 15, 2018
Committees for the Qualifying Exam and Dissertation	July 31, 2025
Committee-Eligible Faculty	August 13, 2023
Remote Participation in Qualifying Exam or Dissertation Defense	August 15, 2022

Section IV: General Policies

USC Graduate School Policies and Procedures	July 31, 2025
Leaves of Absence, Sick Days, and Bereavement	July 31, 2025
PhD Student Conference Travel and Reimbursement	July 31, 2025
Requirements to Walk in Spring Commencement	March 23, 2018
Use of generative artificial intelligence (AI) or similar technologies and tools	May 7, 2024

SECTION I: PROGRAM OVERVIEW

Introduction to PhD in Occupational Science Program Program Overview

Last updated: July 27, 3036

The PhD in Occupational Science educates students to engage in the scientific study of human occupation, the purposeful activities that constitute life experiences. This evolving science is chiefly concerned with the unique capacity of humans to develop and orchestrate occupations and enact adaptive behaviors to enhance engagement and participation in daily life. Occupational scientists examine the function, structure, and interrelationships among a complex array of personal, social, community, behavioral, developmental, sociocultural, and environmental factors that influence how we engage in life's activities (i.e., occupations), as well as how our participation in those activities shapes health, identity, sense of purpose, and fulfillment.

The focus on occupation distinguishes this program from closely related disciplines such as psychology, sociology, and anthropology. The program emphasizes the development of research skills, encouraging students to organize and synthesize knowledge that contributes to occupational science theory and furthers the interdisciplinary understandings of occupation, health, and social participation. At its inception, the USC PhD program in occupational science was the first of its kind in the world, and throughout the more than 30 years since, this emergent discipline has remained at the vanguard of translational research. Students in USC's Occupational Science PhD degree program work on cutting-edge research teams, receive individual mentorship from a member of our research faculty, and join a cohort of first-rate doctoral students with similar scholarly interests and aspirations.

At the USC Chan Division, we see occupational science as a discipline in the service of societal needs. Rooted in the practice profession and continuing to inform therapeutic approaches, our Division is committed to socially responsive research that yields measurable differences in people's health and quality of life. We expect our students to generate research that positively improves society through innovations and discoveries that translate into feasible, cost-effective interventions which also enhance educational curricula and are disseminated to broad audiences.

Immersion in Research

Because becoming a career scientist requires conducting research alongside experienced mentors, the signature element of our PhD program is student immersion in an interdisciplinary, externally-funded research group. Throughout the program, students spend a minimum of 20 hours per week (for which a stipend or course credit is awarded) participating as a member of a research team. In the immersion experience, students are involved in the following:

- Formatting important research questions
- Applying for and managing extramural funding
- Collecting, analyzing, and interpreting data
- Disseminating results in peer-reviewed journals
- Attending conferences and giving presentations
- Participating in research lab management
- Other activities that comprise the standard work of a scientist

Research Funding

The Chan Division has one of the finest track records among departments and divisions of occupational therapy and occupational science worldwide. The Chan Division faculty has obtained more than \$50 million in research grant funding. Our extramural funding portfolio has included other grants from the NIH, CDC, NIDRR, U.S. Department of Education, American Occupational Therapy Foundation, and numerous other sources.

Interdisciplinary Partnerships

The Chan Division has forged numerous interdisciplinary partnerships that are leveraged to strengthen a PhD student's program of study. Our PhD students have participated in activities at USC's world-class Brain and Creativity Institute and the Dana and David Dornsife Cognitive Neuroscience Imaging Center (directed by

Antonio and Hanna Damasio), the cutting-edge Laboratory of Neuro Imaging (directed by Arthur Toga), the Institute for Creative Technologies (directed by Albert “Skip” Rizzo), the Davis School of Gerontology, the Childhood Obesity Research Center, the Institute of Preventive Medicine, and the USC Viterbi School of Engineering. Students also collaborate in research with extramural interdisciplinary teams at other sites, including Children’s Hospital Los Angeles, Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center, and many other local, regional, and national partners.

In addition to direct research experiences, the Division has access to a vast infrastructure that supports scientific enterprise at the university. For example, through our interdisciplinary partnerships, students are able to perform studies using cutting-edge MRI and attend university seminars offered on topics related to career trajectories or teaching excellence. Students can take cognate courses in any of the university’s Schools and Divisions, including, among others, anthropology, education, gerontology, health promotion, neuroscience, public policy, rehabilitation science and sociology.

General Curriculum Requirements

The USC PhD in Occupational Science degree requires 60 units of coursework beyond the baccalaureate degree. Per the Graduate School, the minimum number of units for a doctoral degree is 60, including at least four units of 794ab Doctoral Dissertation. At least 24 units must be completed at USC (excluding Doctoral Dissertation 794). All students must complete the core occupational sciences coursework and successfully defend an independent dissertation. Students who have earned a masters and/or doctoral degree may apply for Advanced Standing during their first semester of enrollment. Advanced standing reduces the total number of course units required for the degree from 60 units to 40 units, which includes a reduction in elective coursework beyond the primary occupational science requirements. This provides additional time to be dedicated to the development of independent research ideas during the pre-candidacy phase of training for advanced students who possess knowledge and skills in research methods and data analysis or who have construct-specific expertise through previous graduate-level training. Students must maintain full-time, continuous enrollment and are expected to complete all coursework, pass a qualifying examination, and finish an independent research dissertation within 5 years.

Students should refer to the University Catalogue (<http://catalogue.usc.edu/>) for full curriculum details and the associated policy (i.e., *Program Requirements*) for specific details regarding the curriculum.

Introduction to the Graduate School

The mission of the USC Graduate School is to provide a distinguished educational experience at the graduate level for an outstanding and diverse student body, to support and celebrate graduate student achievements, and to lead in establishing and communicating policies, standards, and processes related to graduate education.

Purposes of the PhD Program Handbook

Graduate student success is the result of a collaborative effort among students working at their highest levels, faculty dedicated to high-quality mentorship, and staff who coordinate and advise. One of the most important ingredients in this cooperative effort is a shared understanding about what is required and what resources and supports are available.

This document serves as a roadmap for PhD students. It offers a review of expectations for students and for the faculty and administrative staff who support them. The handbook provides three types of information: 1) guidance on program requirements, including university and departmental policies, resources, and expectations; 2) practical advice for common challenges at USC; and 3) information about relevant support services and professional development opportunities.

We hope that the handbook serves as a valuable resource for PhD success, providing students with the information to complete their programs while maintaining academic momentum and personal well-being. The handbook includes policies and practices noted in the university’s catalogue, collective bargaining agreement, and other sources. It does not fully address the terms of the collective bargaining agreement, which is available for your reference on the Graduate School’s [website](#).

The PhD at USC

The Doctor of Philosophy at USC requires full-time, continuous enrollment. It is a rigorous course of study that requires original research, professional maturity, sustained intellectual focus, and academic discipline. Students are expected to devote their time and focus to this degree (coursework and research immersion) and not undertake employment or other time-consuming commitments.

PhD in Occupational Science

Program Administration and Associated Staff

Administration

Amber Angell, PhD, OTR/L, FAOTA
PhD Program Director, Assistant Professor

E: amber.angell@chan.usc.edu
P: (323) 442-5964

Oversight and guidance for the PhD program; enforces program policies; reports to Division, School, Graduate Program and University administration; monitors and supports academic progress; serves as a point of contact for PhD students

Grace Baranek, PhD, OTR/L, FAOTA
Associate Dean, Division Chair, Professor

E: chair@chan.usc.edu
P: (323) 442-2875

Leadership of the Division; guidance of all faculty, staff, and students to support the Division's vision and mission

Mary Lawlor, ScD, OTR/L, FAOTA
Associate Chair of Research, Professor

E: lawlor@chan.usc.edu
P: (323) 442-2820

Guidance of research across the Division; oversight of research ethics; support for grant development; promotion of scholarship through external funding, collaborations, and dissemination

Julie McLaughlin Gray, PhD, OTR/L, FAOTA
Associate Chair for Academic, Faculty, and Student Affairs, Professor of Clinical Occupational Therapy

E: jmgray@chan.usc.edu
P: (323) 442-2877

Direction of core curriculum; oversight of faculty and students; promotion of diversity, inclusion and access across the Division; liaison for student grievances; and Chan Academic Integrity Ambassador

Associated Staff

Travis Smith Webmaster	E: travis.smith@chan.usc.edu P: N/A	Website profile, publication updates, web requests
Stephanie Lee Budget/Business Technician	E: stephanie.lee@chan.usc.edu P: (323) 442-1460	Travel requests, end of semester reports
Joseph Gonzales IT Manager	E: joseph.gonzales@chan.usc.edu P: (323) 442-4278	Technology queries
Vatche Chakerian Research Administrator	E: vatche.chakerian@chan.usc.edu P: (323) 442-0066	Grant development and submission
David Xie IT Support	E: it@chan.usc.edu https://help.osot.usc.edu/portal	Computer, network, and user account support
Kimberly Kho, MBA Director of Marketing and Student Recruitment	E: kimkho@chan.usc.edu P: (323) 442-2859	Marketing and recruitment
Amber Bennett, OTD, OTR/L Director of Admissions	E: admissions@chan.usc.edu P: (323) 442-2460	Program admissions and orientation
Jackie Mardirossian, MA, COTA/L, ROH Director of Continuing Education	E: jmardiro@chan.usc.edu P: (323) 442-2811	Building maintenance, keys, and identification
Ryan Pineda Student Services Advisor	E: ryan.pineda@chan.usc.edu P: (323) 442-1865	Course registration, academic progress/review, fellowships
Christine Sebastian Special Events Program Coordinator	E: christine.sebastian@chan.usc.edu P: (323) 442-1571	Event planning and catering
Sonia De Mesa Department Business Manager	E: Sonia.de.mesa@chan.usc.edu P: (323) 442-2486	RA contracts, financial aid, human resources support
Ann Cassar Administrative Assistance	E: info@chan.usc.edu P: (323) 442-2850	Classroom scheduling, general administrative support

PHD POLICIES & PROCEDURES

Policy Name:**PhD Program Faculty Roster****Approval Date:** July 31, 2025**Previous Version:** June 23, 2024

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

The PhD Program Faculty provide guidance and oversight of the PhD Program in Occupational Science. As such, this committee provides input regarding philosophical direction of the program, academic curriculum, program requirements, and other issues related to programmatic administration. In addition, the committee supports decisions related to student recruitment, new student admissions, review of student progress, and other issues related to students in the program.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Membership on this faculty roster is automatic for Chan tenure and tenure-track faculty. Membership as a non-voting member is also open to committee-eligible Chan faculty who are currently a primary or co-mentor of an enrolled PhD student or the Associate Chair for Curriculum and Faculty. Any such faculty wishing to be added to this roster should submit a request, in writing, to the PhD Program Director with their CV. All requests will be reviewed by the current members of the program faculty and a recommendation will be made. Final decisions for inclusion on this roster are at the discretion of the Associate Dean and Chair of the Chan Division.

When a quorum* exists, the faculty listed below may provide official recommendations for changes to program guidelines or new/updated policies, as well as make decisions related to students and provide other input on administrative direction of the program. Any recommendations or decisions made by the committee will be documented by the PhD Program Director, to be reviewed by the appropriate administration as needed: Grace Baranek, Associate Dean and Division Chair; Mahvash Navazesh, Associate Dean for Academic, Faculty and Student Affairs; and/or Avishai Sadan, Dean.

	Name	Title	E-mail
1	Agner, Joy	Assistant Professor	joy.agner@chan.usc.edu
2	Aldrich, Rebecca*	Professor	rebecca.aldrich@chan.usc.edu
3	Angell, Amber	Assistant Professor	amber.angell@chan.usc.edu
4	Karla Ausderau	Professor	karla.ausderau@chan.usc.edu
5	Aziz-Zadeh, Lisa	Professor	lazizzad@chan.usc.edu
6	Baranek, Grace	Associate Dean, Chair, Professor	baranek@chan.usc.edu
7	Cogan, Alison	Assistant Professor	alison.cogan@chan.usc.edu
8	Duker, Leah	Assistant Professor	lstein@chan.usc.edu
9	Lawlor, Mary	Associate Chair, Professor	lawlor@chan.usc.edu
10	Liew, Sook-Lei	Program Director, Associate Professor	sliew@chan.usc.edu
11	McLaughlin-Gray, Julie*	Associate Chair, Professor	jmgray@chan.usc.edu
12	Pineda, Bobbi	Associate Professor	bobbi.pineda@chan.usc.edu
13	Pyatak, Beth	Associate Professor	beth.pyatak@chan.usc.edu
14	Roll, Shawn	Professor	scroll@chan.usc.edu
15	Sleight, Alix	Assistant Professor	alix.sleight@chan.usc.edu

Note: 6 voting members will constitute a quorum for meetings and discussions.

*Non-voting member

This roster will be reviewed on an annual basis by the PhD Program Director and Associate Dean/Chair.

Policy Name:**Approval Date:** July 31, 2025**Previous Version:** August 15, 2022**Program Requirements**

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

The primary objective of the PhD in Occupational Science program is to prepare students to become career scientists engaged in the study of human occupation — the purposeful activities that constitute our life experiences. A career scientist conducts independent and or collaborative scientific discovery as part of an identifiable and distinct program of research, engages in effective knowledge mobilization, and is often supported by extramural funding. Within the discipline of occupational science, career scientists engage in these activities across a variety of academic, clinical, community, and industry contexts, and often serve as content experts within collaborative research teams. A rigorous curriculum has been developed to ensure that students graduating from the program have a clear understanding of the core areas of inquiry within the study of occupation and are adequately prepared to be competitive in scientific endeavors. The curriculum utilizes a streamlined and integrative approach to research theory, design, and structure to ensure students achieve a rich conceptualization of the discipline and its core constructs as soon as possible so that they may begin developing their independent research. Along with this curriculum, it is vital to have a rigorous set of guidelines to ensure that students have a rich experience, while being able to complete their training in a timely manner.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

USC Catalogue

Students are responsible for fulfilling all program requirements as described in the USC Catalogue for the academic year they were admitted to the program. It is recommended that each student review the program requirements published in the catalogue at the start of each academic year to determine if there are any relevant, positive updates. With exception of minor grammatical editing or updated program descriptions, the PhD Program Director will notify all continuing students of major changes or updates to the curriculum that could impact progress. At any time, students may elect to update their status to follow requirements of a more recent catalogue year than when they were admitted. Students who wish to update their status, should notify the PhD Program Director and Student Services Advisor in writing of their desired catalogue year. Once a student elects to update their catalogue year, they may not revert back to previous requirements. The current and recent archives of the USC Catalogue can be located on the web at: <http://catalogue.usc.edu/>

Curriculum Requirements

The current PhD program requires the completion of 60 units including the following:

- Core Courses: OT 640 (4), OT 641 (4), OT 648 (4), OT 649 (4), OT 661 (2), and OT 660 (4 for a total of 8 units)
- Elective Cognate Courses: Minimum total of 26 units
- Dissertation: Minimum of 2 units per semester for at least two semesters (4 units)
- Additional Courses: 4 additional units in an elective cognate or dissertation, either pre- or post-candidacy

Note: Students with an earned masters and/or doctoral degree may apply for Advanced Standing during their first semester in the program. For more details, refer to the associated policy: *Advanced Standing*.

Academic Requirements

- **Minimum GPA and Course Grades:** Students are required to maintain a minimum grade point average (GPA) of 3.0. Additionally, a minimum grade of C is required for the units of a course to be applied toward the degree requirements.

Registration Requirements

Students are expected to meet the following guidelines regarding course registration and grades:

- **Full-Time Status:** Students are admitted to the PhD in Occupational Science program as full-time students only, and all students are expected to maintain full-time status throughout their program. PhD students are considered full-time when registered for at least 6 units of 500 level or above course work, or when enrolled in 794 a, b, c, d, or z (Doctoral Dissertation) or GRSC 800 (preparing for the qualifying examination) or GRSC 802 (summer enrollment for students whose summer coursework is less than 6 units). Students are also considered full-time if they are a Teaching Assistant and are enrolled for the minimum units required for the position.

- Continuous Enrollment: Once a student is admitted to the program, the student must register for at least one course every fall and spring semester until the student completes, defends, makes any post-defense revisions, and submits the dissertation. Students are not required to enroll in a course during the summer; these students should contact the Student Services Advisor for clearance to register for GRSC 801/802.
- Readmission: If a student fails to register for a fall or spring semester and is not on a leave of absence, the student must apply for readmission to the Division, subject to review by the Graduate School.
- On-Site Residency: The PhD in Occupational Science program is an on-site, in-person degree program. Students are required to complete an on-site immersion within a research lab and all courses are expected to be completed in-person. Exceptions may be granted for remote participation in courses and immersion experiences on a limited basis (e.g., during travel for research/training), or if a course has been specifically designed as an online course.
- Waivers/Substitutions/Transfer Units: A maximum of 25 percent (13 units) of the stated degree course requirements (exclusive of 794 Doctoral Dissertation) may be approved for waiver or substitution by other USC course work, directed research, or transfer course work. Substitutions and the use of transfer units must be approved by the Committee Chair. The Chair must complete a substitution form and submit to the Division's Degree Progress Coordinator, for the units to be applied appropriately to the student's degree. The substitution form is available from the Division's Degree Progress Coordinator.
- Early Submission Option for Dissertation: Students are exempted from the requirement to register for OT 794 in a given semester if the Approval to Submit form has been signed by all Dissertation Committee members and the student uploads the approved manuscript to the Graduate School by the add/drop deadline in that semester. It is recommended that students submit all forms and obtain committee signatures on the electronic approval form at least one week before the add/drop deadline to allow time to upload the final manuscript.

Degree Progress

Students are expected to make regular progress toward degree completion, such that all requirements are completed in a timely manner. Students and advisors should keep the following standard pace of progression in mind to ensure satisfactory progress occurs:

- Time to Completion of Coursework: Students are expected to complete all required course work and be preparing for their comprehensive qualifying exam within 2 years of starting the program (i.e., six semesters, including summers). This will require taking more than the minimum full-time enrollment of 6 units in a given semester; rather, students should take 10-14 units of course work each fall and spring, as well as 2-4 units each summer. Students who have advanced standing may be able to achieve completion of coursework in two years with slightly reduced unit counts.
- Time to Degree Completion: The PhD in Occupational Science program aims to support degree completion within 4 to 5 years. To expedite this process, students are encouraged to have early and on-going discussions with their advisor/mentor regarding their course plan and formulation of a research topic. Students who have completed a Master's degree within 5 years of starting their PhD program have 6 years from the date of completion of the first course to complete their degree. All other students have 8 years to complete the degree.
- Dissertation Submission and Graduation: As students approach the completion of their degree requirements, they should be aware of deadlines and other timelines. Students should contact the Student Services Advisor at the start of the semester they intend to complete all degree requirements to identify the specific dates and deadlines to ensure they will complete on time. These deadlines include applying for graduation, submitting the committee approval form (i.e., passing the dissertation defense), and submitting the approved written dissertation for review. Students should plan as much extra time into their timeline as possible, as it can take approximately three weeks for the thesis editor to complete a review of the submitted work. Once students receive email notification of the required changes from the editor, and students have five days to submit corrections. If there are additional changes required, the student is again notified by email and has three days to make any final changes. For more details, refer to the associated policy: *Submission of the Dissertation*.

Forms

All forms, unless otherwise noted in a specific policy, should be submitted to the Division's Student Services Advisor. Two key forms are required: Appointment of Qualifying Committee and Appointment of Dissertation Committee. Students are responsible for completing all necessary information on the form and obtaining signatures from their Chair and committee members. Official signatures from the Associate Dean/Program Director and Dean will be obtained by the Student

Services Advisor. Forms can be downloaded from the Graduate School's website at <https://graduateschool.usc.edu/current-students/guidelines-and-forms/>

Enrollment Status

To be considered full-time, a doctoral student must be enrolled in at least six units of 500-level and above coursework each semester. Most students enroll in 12 units to make timely progress toward their degree; 16 units constitute the maximum load. Students wishing to carry more than 16 units must have prior permission from the degree-conferring unit; such permission will be granted only in exceptional circumstances.

There are three exceptions to this six-unit requirement:

1. Students whose summer coursework does not meet the 6 unit minimum must also enroll in GRSC 802 to maintain full-time continuous enrollment.
2. A student who has completed all coursework for the doctoral degree will be considered full-time during the semester in which the student is preparing for the doctoral qualifying examination, provided the *Appointment or Change of Qualifying Exam or Dissertation Committee* form has been completed and submitted to the program and the student is enrolled in GRSC 800abz, Studies for the Qualifying Examination.
3. Doctoral students who have been advanced to candidacy, that is, who have completed all coursework and have passed the qualifying examination, will be considered full-time when enrolled in 794 Doctoral Dissertation.

Enrollment Information for F-1 and J-1 International Students

F-1 and J-1 international students on student visas who are not enrolled in either GRSC 800abz or 794 must be enrolled in at least six units or receive authorization from the Office of International Services to enroll in fewer than the minimum units. International students with questions about their registration should consult the Office of International Services. Immigration regulations require F-1 and J-1 student's physical attendance for classes during each fall and spring semester of their program. If the summer term is the student's first or last semester at USC, then physical attendance for classes is also required.

No more than one online class (up to 3 units) per semester can be counted towards the full course of study for an F-1 or J-1 student. If you only need to be registered in one course to complete your degree program during your final term, it must be in-person.

794ab Doctoral Dissertation

794ab Doctoral Dissertation confers full-time enrollment status on students who have been admitted to candidacy. Students are expected to be enrolled in 2 units of 794 each semester, except summer sessions, for a minimum of two semesters, until all degree requirements are completed. Students are expected to complete and defend their dissertation in less than five semesters of 794. No more than eight units of 794 will be applied toward the PhD degree.

Concurrent Enrollment

If a student is simultaneously enrolled elsewhere, they may not transfer credits to USC without advance permission from the dean of the school that hosts the USC degree program. Failure to obtain permission will invalidate coursework taken during periods of unauthorized concurrent enrollment.

Transfer of Credit

The Degree Progress Department in the Office of Academic Records and Registrar determines whether coursework taken elsewhere is available for transfer credit. The faculty of the student's degree program determines whether such credit is applicable toward a specific graduate degree, subject to approval by the dean of the degree-conferring unit. The faculty will decide by the end of the second year. See also our Division's policy on *Advanced Standing*.

Continuous Enrollment

Students are considered to be pursuing advanced degrees only when formally enrolled. PhD students must be enrolled at USC for fall and spring semesters each year until all degree requirements have been satisfactorily completed within the time limit. Summer enrollment is required.

Enrollment in graduate-level course work is necessary to meet the continuous enrollment requirement. Graduate students who fail to register are no longer considered to be enrolled in a graduate degree program. After an unauthorized absence, formal readmission is required. Students who have been granted an official leave of absence do not need to apply for

readmission following the approved leave. However, students who fail to return at the conclusion of any form of leave without obtaining an approved extension violate the continuous enrollment policy.

A doctoral candidate who has passed the qualifying examination must enroll each fall and spring semester in 794 Doctoral Dissertation until the dissertation has been approved. Students are expected to complete and defend their dissertation in less than five semesters.

Some courses with no academic credit, including 794z, require payment of tuition.

Grade Point Average Requirement

At no time should the overall GPA drop below 3.0. A minimum grade of C (2.0) is required in a course to receive graduate credit. Work graded C- or below is unacceptable for subject or unit credit toward any doctoral program.

Pass/No Pass Coursework

Graduate students may elect to enroll in courses on a pass/no pass basis with department or program approval. However, coursework taken on a pass/no pass basis cannot be applied toward a graduate degree. Students should consult their academic advisors before enrolling in any course on a pass/no pass basis.

Incompletes

An incomplete (IN) is assigned when work is not completed because of a documented illness or another emergency occurring after the 12th week of the semester (or 12th week equivalent for any course scheduled for less than 15 weeks). If a student receives an incomplete, the instructor will fill out the *Assignment of an Incomplete (IN) and Requirements for Completion* form, which will specify the work remaining to be done, the procedures for its completion, the grade in the course to date and the weight to be assigned to the work remaining to be done when computing the final grade. One calendar year is allowed to complete an IN. If the IN is not completed within the designated time, the course is considered “lapsed,” the grade is changed to an “IX” and will be calculated into the grade point average as 0 points. Note that different departments may have more stringent time limits to complete an IN course; please ensure you check with the course instructor what their department’s policy is on this. Courses offered on a Credit/No Credit basis or taken on a Pass/No Pass basis for which a mark of Incomplete is assigned will be lapsed with a mark of NC or NP and will not be calculated into the grade point average. Completing the IN within the one-year period should be the student’s highest priority. A student may petition the Committee on Academic Policies and Procedures (CAPP) for an extension of time for the completion of an IN. Extensions beyond the specified time limit are rarely approved if the student has enrolled in subsequent semesters.

In all cases, a petition for an extension of time to complete an IN must have departmental approval and include a statement from the instructor explaining what is needed to complete the course and why the instructor believes the student should be given additional time for completion.

Audited Courses

Students who wish to audit a course at USC must register for the course on Web Registration and select the Audit grade option. Audited courses will not receive credit and will not appear on the USC transcript or grade report. However, tuition is charged, and students should check with their school or program to determine if auditing courses is an option. As noted in the USC Student Handbook, attending a course without being officially registered in it is prohibited.

Time Limit for Degree Completion

Progress is measured from the beginning of the first course at USC applied toward a specified degree, and all requirements for that degree must be completed within a specified time. The university’s time limit for completing the doctoral degree is eight years. For students who earned an applicable master’s degree within the five years before admission to the doctoral program, the time limit for completing the doctoral degree is six years from the date of admission to the doctoral program. Approved leaves of absence (up to two years or four semesters) are not counted in the time allowed to complete degree requirements.

Extensions may be granted in extraordinary circumstances. If granted an extension, the dean of the degree-conferring unit will allow registration for the specified extension period.

SECTION II: PROGRAM PROCESSES*

*Policies are organized in chronological order as the processes occur in the timeline of a student's program.

PHD POLICIES & PROCEDURES

Policy Name:

Course Plan and Academic Progress Contracts

Approval Date: August 15, 2018

Previous Version: November 21, 2016

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

USC and the Chan Division wish to ensure that each student acquires an appropriate breadth and depth of knowledge within the realm of Occupational Science, research design and methods, and specific cognate focus areas. In addition, it is imperative that students receive adequate opportunity to develop skill in the development and completion of research through immersion experiences and individually directed projects. It is vital that each student develop a plan for completing appropriate courses and training, along with annual goal setting to promote efficiency and support progress toward a suitable time to degree completion.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Establishing a Course Plan

New students are encouraged to meet with their advisor, at the latest, the week prior to the start of classes for their first semester in the program. At this time, the advisor and student should review the program curriculum and establish an initial course plan that meets the minimum course, unit, and milestone requirements as specified by the curriculum. This course plan should include the following:

- **Required Core Courses:** Each of the five required classes (i.e., 640, 641, 648, 649, 661) and the four sections of research immersion (i.e., 660) should be located in the relevant semesters for the first two years.
- **Elective Units:** Elective units should be distributed through the course plan to ensure the minimum number of units are achieved prior to qualification exam (i.e., 26 for full standing, 10 for advanced standing). The student and advisor should work collaboratively to identify appropriate electives. It is important to place specific elective courses on the course plan as soon as possible to ensure that the course plan will accommodate courses that are only offered in specific semesters and for timely completion of classes that may be pre-requisites for other electives. In addition, the student and advisor should be aware of the total unit count for any given semester to ensure that the student can be successful in managing the course-load along with other requirements (e.g., RA duties, immersion requirements).
- **Dissertation Units:** A minimum of two semesters at 2-units each of dissertation (i.e., 794a-b) must be planned. Up to four semesters for a total of 8-units can be added (i.e., 794a-d), after which the dissertation can be completed, but no additional units can be accumulated (i.e., 794z).
- **Milestones:** The course plan should estimate the semester in which the qualifying exam will be completed, as well as when the dissertation proposal and final defense will occur. Establishing these goals at the outset of the program will help to provide targets for the student.

Annual Academic Progress Contract

Each student should meet with his/her advisor at the start of every academic year to review progress toward program milestones and develop goals for the upcoming academic year. At this time, the course plan can be updated and the annual academic progress contract should be completed. Any specific needs or supports necessary to assist the student in attaining goals should be clearly described in the contract, including but not limited to alterations in research assistantship assignments or work hours.

- **First-year students** should complete and review this academic progress contract with their advisor by the end of the first semester, submitting a copy of this contract to the Degree Progress Coordinator no later than December 1 of the first year in the program.
- **Continuing students** and advisors should review the MOU and previous year's contract, complete an updated contract below, and submit a copy of the signed contract to the Degree Progress Coordinator by October 1 at the start of each academic year.

The mentoring memorandum of understanding (MOU, see related policy) should be reviewed and updated in conjunction with the development of the annual academic contract. Revision of the MOU is especially important in cases where poor

academic progress is identified by the PhD program faculty or advisor, mentor or mentee issues have arisen, or alterations to previously agreed-upon research assistantship work-loads or work-hours will be enacted during the upcoming year.

A copy of the academic progress contract is appended. An editable version of the document is available in the PhD student resources folder on the Division's shared drive.

**PhD Program in Occupational Science
Annual Academic Progress Contract**

Student Name: _____

Academic Year: _____

First-year students should complete and review this academic progress contract with their advisor by the end of the first semester, submitting a copy of this contract and the mentoring memorandum of understanding (MOU) to the degree progress coordinator no later than December 1 of the first-year in the program. **Continuing students** and advisors should review the MOU and previous year's contract, complete an updated contract below, and submit a copy of the signed contract to the degree progress coordinator by October 1 at the start of each academic year.

Academic Progress: Update the status of your progress toward meeting the program milestones on the second page of this report. In the space below, note your plans for this academic year related to these milestones, and be sure to comment on any challenges you've faced in achieving the targets

Goals and Achievements: List specific, measurable goals for the upcoming academic year related to your development as a career scientist and completion of your PhD training. Goals often involve completion of elective coursework, attainment of specialized training, submission and/or publication of papers, completion of professional presentations, as well as other individualized outcomes. Setting general or specific target dates for completion may be useful.

Goal	Target Date

Support: Note any specific activities or supports that will be needed or used to facilitate progress toward milestones or goals attainment in this academic year (e.g., meetings with advisor, writing supports, student's reduced work hours).

Student Signature: _____

Date: _____

Faculty Advisor Signature: _____

Date: _____

Milestones: The following guidelines are provided for purposes of monitoring progress toward achievement of the doctoral degree. Required and target timeframes are provided as a guiding template for the advisor and student to project completion dates and evaluate progress. This form should be used to assist the advisor and student in establishing annual goals and identifying mentoring, training, or other needs. In addition, this plan will be used by the PhD program faculty to conduct their annual evaluation of student progress in the spring of each academic year.

Note: 'Consecutive semesters' means continuous enrollment in 3 semesters each calendar year (i.e., fall, spring, summer)

	<u>Milestone</u>	<u>Date Anticipated</u>	<u>Date Completed</u>
1	Appoint Committee Chair	<i>At Admission</i>	<i>At Admission</i>
2	Develop Course Plan and Mentoring MOU <u>Required:</u> End of 1 st semester (Dec. 1); must be reviewed and revised at the beginning of each academic year or as needed		
3	Complete First-Year Screening <u>Required:</u> End of 2 nd semester; no later than completion of 24-units of coursework (Spring, Year 1)		
4	Complete Core Courses <u>Target:</u> End of 6 consecutive semesters (Summer, Year 2)		
5	Complete Elective Courses <u>Target:</u> End of 6 consecutive semesters with advanced standing (Summer, Year 2); 7 semesters for all other students (Fall, Year 3)		
6	Appoint Advisory/Qualification Committee <u>Target:</u> End of 6 consecutive semesters (Summer, Year 2); no later than one semester prior to scheduling the qualification exam		
7	Pass Qualification Examination <u>Target:</u> End of 7 consecutive semesters with advanced standing (Fall, Year 3); 8 consecutive semesters for all other students (Spring, Year 3)		
8	Appoint Dissertation Committee <u>Target:</u> As soon as possible after completion of qualification exam, typically by the end of the following semester		
9	Dissertation Proposal Approval <u>Target:</u> End of 8 consecutive semesters with advanced standing (Spring, Year 3); 9 consecutive semesters for all other students (Summer, Year 3)		
9	IRB Approval and Commence Data Collection <u>Target:</u> Prior to Fall of Year 4		
10	Present Dissertation and Pass Oral Examination <u>Target:</u> Spring or Summer of Year 4 with advanced standing; Fall or Spring of Year 5 for all other students		
11	Submit and Obtain Acceptance of Written Dissertation <u>Target:</u> Summer of Year 4 with advanced standing; Spring of Year 5 for all other students		

Policy Name:***Advanced Standing in the PhD Program in Occupational Science*****Approval Date:** August 13, 2023**Previous Version:** May 12, 2022

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

Given our strong focus on developing career scientists, we strive to recruit and enroll highly-qualified and talented students. Many of these students have completed extensive master's and/or doctoral level training and are well-prepared to engage in advanced learning and immersive experiences. To ensure that these students do not have a heavy pre-candidacy course load, we have established an Advanced Standing track that will allow students to achieve a more intensive focus on applied research experiences during their program.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Impact on Program Requirements:

Advanced Standing reduces the required total number of units for graduation from 60 to 40. Students on this track will complete all required core courses and research practica but are only required to complete 10 units of elective cognate courses instead of the 26 units typically required. The reduced pre-candidacy cognate course load will provide students with the opportunity to dedicate more time and energy to their core courses and applied research experiences. Students with Advanced Standing must still complete all other program requirements, including passing a qualifying exam and successful completion of an independent dissertation.

Eligibility:

- Students in the PhD program who have an earned masters or doctorate degree.

Application for Advanced Standing:

Students wishing to be considered for Advanced Standing should discuss this option with their committee chair. The student and his/her committee chair should submit a request, in writing, to the PhD Program Director for consideration of approval of advanced standing. At a minimum, this request should include:

- A description of the student's previous graduate coursework and training, indicating the relative strength of the student's previous academic program, especially in cases where the program/institution is not widely known.
- A summary of how the student's previous graduate coursework and/or other experiences have adequately prepared the student to succeed in both the PhD program and completion of a dissertation project given a reduced requirement for the completion of only 10-units of cognate coursework.
- A statement from the student's committee chair (i.e., primary advisor) verifying support of the request and any additional information necessary for the Program Faculty to adequately consider the request. Students may submit requests without support of their committee chair, but are strongly encouraged to contact the PhD Program Director to discuss this process.

Deadlines:

All requests for Advanced Standing should be submitted to the PhD Program Director **no later than November 1 of the student's first semester** in the program. In general, requests will be considered by the PhD Program Faculty during a regular meeting during the student's first semester in the program. However, requests for Advanced Standing may be submitted at any time, including by applicants during the admissions process. Such requests should include the same information as required by students fully admitted to the program. Requests received prior to a student's participation in the research lab or core courses may be deferred by the Program Faculty for later decision. **Decisions on Advanced Standing status should be made by the end of the student's first semester.** Students who do not have a graduate degree conferred at the time of decision may receive contingent approval or may be further deferred by the committee.

Policy Name: *Review of Academic Progress*
Approval Date: July 31, 2025
Previous Version: August 15, 2018

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

Academic progress reviews are routinely conducted for all PhD students to ensure students are meeting requirements and making adequate progress. The purpose of this regular review process is to evaluate a student's academic standing, timely progress toward completion of program requirements, professional behaviors, and overall fit between the student and the PhD program in Occupational Science. Ultimately, the goal of this review process is to ensure that all students will be successful in their program and future careers as occupational scientists.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Per the Graduate School:

Students are expected to maintain satisfactory progress towards their degree. If a student has a question or concern about their progress, they should consult with their faculty advisor or PhD program coordinator.

If a student fails to make satisfactory progress, the department chair, program director, or school/college dean will notify them in writing. Written letters should a) explain how the student is falling short of the satisfactory progress standard and b) include specific benchmarks describing how the student can succeed in the program within a reasonable timeframe. Warning letters are sent as early as possible so the student can take advantage of the recommended actions.

Students who do not meet the established benchmarks may be dismissed. More details about these processes in our Division are included below.

Types and Timeframes of Review

Academic progress is reviewed through a variety of on-going informal methods and two primary formal processes.

Informal Reviews

- Mentoring Meetings (quarterly): It is expected that the student and advisor will meet at least quarterly to discuss academic standing, progress toward degree completion, and overall performance in other activities (e.g., immersion participation, manuscripts). Any concerns regarding satisfactory progress should be documented, with development of a clear action plan for improvement. Additional considerations and expectations for mentoring are included in the associated policy, *Advising Plan and Memorandum of Understanding*.
- Degree Audit (each semester): The Division's Student Services Advisor and the PhD Program Director will conduct a review of completed courses, course grades, and overall GPAs for all PhD students at the conclusion of each semester. The advisor/mentor for any student who has failed to meet minimum requirements for any individual course (i.e., C) or approaching the minimum GPA (i.e., 3.0) threshold will be notified. Any student who fails to meet minimum requirements in a core course or falls below the minimum GPA (i.e., 3.0) will be provided with a written warning, indicating the necessary steps to ensure they are not dismissed from the program.

Formal Reviews

- First-Year Screening (spring semester): All PhD students are required to complete a first-year screening process, which must be conducted prior to the student having completed 24 units of coursework. This screening process is used to make recommendations to the student and his/her advisor regarding the continuation and future direction of the student's academic plan, including any supports, coursework, mentoring or other considerations as needed to ensure success. Details regarding this screening process are provided in the associated policy, *First-Year Screening*.
- Continuing Student Review (spring semester): Academic progress and overall performance of each PhD student in the program is reviewed annually by the PhD Program Faculty. This review typically occurs at the final program meeting or curriculum review meeting occurring at the end of spring semester. During this meeting, the PhD Program Director summarizes the student's course/academic standing, the student's mentor/advisor informs the committee of the student's current progress relative to coursework or dissertation

plans, and other faculty who have interacted with the student during the academic year (e.g., courses, committees) provide additional feedback. Achievements (e.g., awards, publications) and other success are reported, as well as concerns, challenges, or any other probationary warnings are discussed.

Additional Reviews of Academic Progress

- In addition to the review mechanisms noted above, all students are required to pass a comprehensive, qualifying exam and successfully defend their dissertation, both of which serve as formal reviews of academic progress. Please refer to individual policies on each of these events for more information.

Outcomes of Academic Progress Reviews

Following the completion of the first-year screening committee meeting and annual student review process each spring, the PhD Program Director will provide each PhD student with an official letter summarizing the results of his/her review. This letter will reflect one of three findings of the committee:

- A. Continuation in Good Standing:** Students considered to be in good standing will have met all minimum requirements of the program (e.g., GPA, completion of required course units) and have demonstrated potential to successfully complete the PhD in Occupational Science. Recommendations for the student's course plan, dissertation, mentoring, or other areas for improvement will be provided within the student's letter. Research assistantships and any other contractual arrangements will be re-issued for the upcoming academic year.
- B. Continuation with Improvement Plan:** Students who are not making satisfactory progress, have struggled to meet minimum requirements of the program, or exhibit other behaviors of concern to the committee will continue in the program in a probationary period. The committee will recommend an improvement action plan, which will be appended to the student's review letter. The improvement plan will indicate: (1) the specific deficiencies and concerns that led to the committee's decision, (2) corrections that need to be made by the student, (3) a timeframe for the student to improve his/her performance, (4) the process through which the student will be re-reviewed, and (5) potential outcomes of the re-review process (i.e., removal of probation, continuation of probation with an updated improvement plan, or dismissal). Research assistantships and any other contractual arrangements will be re-issued for the duration of the probationary period, with a stipulation for continuation for the full upcoming academic year based on a satisfactory finding during re-review.
- C. Dismissal:** Students who have not met the minimum requirements; have exhibited a pattern poor or unprofessional behaviors; and/or appear to lack commitment to or understanding of core concepts, theories, and requirements of a career scientist in occupational science will be dismissed from the program. Unless a student is being dismissed for failure to meet minimum requirements for course completion, no student should be dismissed without documentation of previous attempts to improve a student's standing. Documentation may include items, such as, electronic communications from faculty/peers, notes from mentoring meetings, or student-signed improvement plans.

Dispute of Academic Progress Evaluation or Appeal of Dismissal

Students wishing to dispute or appeal any results of an academic progress review should refer to the appropriate University Policy. The [USC Student Handbook](#) includes information on how to appeal a dismissal. The Graduate School Dismissal Appeal provides the final consideration of a student's dismissal from a graduate program when the dean of the relevant school has upheld the dismissal. This does not include students who have not met the conditions for continuing registration or those who have violated the Student Code of Conduct. The Graduate School will schedule the appeal once the student provides written verification of dismissal and states in writing that they want to proceed with the appeal within 30 days of the dismissal. For more information, please visit the Graduate School [website](#).

Policy Name: *First-Year Screening*
Approval Date: July 31, 2025
Previous Version: August 13, 2023

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

Screenings are routinely conducted with all students to ensure that our PhD students are meeting requirements and making adequate progress. Completing an in-depth screening at the end of a student's first-year is a Graduate School requirement. The purpose of this process is not only to evaluate a student's progress toward meeting academic expectations of the program, but to ensure that there is a fit between the student and the PhD program in Occupational Science. Ultimately, the goal of this process is to ensure that all students are able to be successful. This screening process is used to make recommendations to the student and his/her advisor regarding the continuation and future direction of the student's academic plan, including any supports, coursework, mentoring or other considerations as needed to ensure success.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Graduate School Guidelines

The department or program will administer the screening exam before the student completes 24 units. Students must pass this procedure to continue in the PhD program. If students fail the screening procedure, they will be dismissed from the PhD program without a written warning. Students who fail the screening examination are entitled to appeal pursuant to the Academic Dismissal Appeal procedure described in this Handbook.

Timing of Screening

All PhD students are required to complete the first-year screening process, which must be conducted prior to the student having completed 24 units of coursework. Given the curriculum design, students should be prepared to submit all required materials **by April 15 of their first year** in the program (except for a clean copy of their final paper for their spring course, which can be submitted at the completion of the course), so that materials can be reviewed within the parameters set forth by the Graduate School.

Required Materials

Students are required to submit three items for consideration:

1. A personal statement, limited to two single-spaced pages, that demonstrates and addresses:
 - a. An understanding of occupational science theory and concepts;
 - b. The current direction of research/career interests in relation to occupational science;
 - c. A description of a course plan and indication of how the plan supports research/career interests; and
 - d. A current conceptualization of the planned direction for dissertation work, including any thoughts regarding mentorship needs and potential members of the advisory and dissertation committees.
2. A clean copy of papers written for required and/or elective courses, which best represent writing and scholarship. Submitted papers should represent the student's own individual writing, without editing contributions from an advisor or any other individuals.
3. A current curriculum vita.

Screening Process

A committee of PhD Program Faculty will be convened annually at the end of Spring Semester to complete the screening process. The first-year screening committee will be chaired by the PhD Program Director and should consist of a minimum of three members, which must include the primary mentor(s)/advisor(s) of any student being reviewed. PhD Program Faculty members who provided instruction in core courses during the current academic year may choose to participate in the screening meeting or may submit written comments regarding the students being reviewed; other Division faculty members who have provided instruction may also submit written comments.

The committee should dedicate adequate time to a discussion and review of each student, to ensure a fair and equitable process is conducted. Each individual review should begin with an introduction and overview provided by the student's faculty mentor/advisor, followed by a discussion of the student's submitted materials and any other information provided to or by the committee. The discussion will focus on status of completed coursework, future course plans, involvement in the research immersion and other research activities, and general progress toward becoming a career scientist in occupational science. The PhD Program Director will provide each student with a summary of the results of the review as described in the associated policy, *Review of Academic Progress*.

Policy Name: *Teaching Experiential Component*
Approval Date: August 13, 2023
Previous Version: May 11, 2021

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

To prepare students for anticipated roles as faculty members, a teaching component is incorporated into the program.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Teaching Development Plan

After passing the first-year screening, students will meet with the Associate Chair for Curriculum and Faculty to discuss their career trajectory and determine what type of teaching experiences will support future success. Based on future goals and past experiences, a Teaching Development Plan will be created. Students will choose from the list of progressively more advanced competencies provided below to develop an individualized teaching development plan. *At a minimum, each student is required to provide instruction for at least one OS minor class session (e.g. like a guest lecture) by the end of their second year.* At a minimum, each student is required to deliver guest lectures or assist in lab instruction at least four times in total. The student will work with their immersion advisor to plan and schedule the mentored teaching experiences in a manner that ensures the timing complements research lab participation and progression of dissertation plans.

Teaching Opportunities

- Guest lecture or lab instruction (e.g., individual presentations)
- Structured mentoring experience (e.g., independent study with BS or MA student)
- Unit or module instructor (e.g., 2-4 class sessions)
- Course TA or lab assistant (e.g., engaging in various course or lab aspects with a faculty mentor)
- Course co-instructor (e.g., working alongside faculty assigned a course section)
- Section lead instructor (e.g., managing all aspects of a course section in parallel with faculty in other sections)

Competencies*Classroom Instruction:*

- Deliver a lecture on an educational topic / providing laboratory demonstration and instruction
- Provide on-line educational material in synchronous or asynchronous styles
- Facilitate group discussions or learning activities
- Provide 1:1 mentoring and instruction to support achievement of a student's professional and scholarly goals
- Deliver content and lead activities across multiple sessions that meet a set of learning goals for a course module
- Collaborate with a co-instructor to provide content in a manner that supports student learning
- Provide content while independently managing the classroom environment

Course and Instructional Development:

- Develop learning objectives and relevant course materials
- Set up course materials, activities, communications using a learning management system (Blackboard)
- Develop materials for asynchronous learning using on-line or remote education models
- Participate in aspects of curriculum design and/or accreditation processes and reports

Assessment of Learning and Student Assessment of Instruction:

- Develop multiple choice/short answer exams and grading guidelines
- Develop written assignment(s) and rubric for grading
- Design, implement, and analyze mid-semester course evaluations
- Perform item analysis and finalize grades for multiple choice or other quantitatively scored exams
- Grade short answer exams and/or written assignments and provide student feedback
- Analyze overall course grades across all students and assign final grades

Examples of Other Competencies:

- Synthesize student questions and comments to provide constructive feedback to support learning and growth
- Manage classroom conversations, discussions, and reflections to maintain decorum and for time-keeping
- Hold office hours and manage individual student meetings to support student performance
- Mentor students to identify individualized learning goals and provide supportive scaffolding and guidance

Policy Name:**Qualifying Examination****Approval Date:** July 31, 2025**Previous Version:** June 23, 2024

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

The Qualifying Exam is required for all PhD students. Per graduate school guidelines:

The qualifying examination is designed to assess a student's readiness to undertake independent research for their dissertation. It is comprehensive and, in most programs, includes both written and oral parts. Before taking the qualifying examination, the student must have met all of the university's and program's requirements for the PhD degree, except the dissertation. The student must have a GPA of at least 3.0 on all USC coursework available for graduate credit and the approval of their qualifying exam committee to proceed to the exam.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Exam Committee

An exam committee of five faculty must be established and approved at least four weeks prior to initiation of the written portion of the qualifying exam. For details on the required members and the process for establishing this committee, see the associated policy: *Committees for the Qualifying Exam and Dissertation*

Exam Preparation

Prior to beginning the Qualifying Exam, the student must submit to the Chair an overview of the dissertation proposal. The overview should be a minimum of three pages in length and must include a background of the study, primary goals and aims, and a description of the study design. At the time this plan is submitted, the Chair and student should meet to discuss core content areas for the exam. At least 2 weeks prior to the Exam, the Chair will share four documents with the exam committee: (1) a dissertation overview, (2) the student's CV, (3) a list of completed elective coursework, and (4) proposed content areas. The chair will communicate with all committee members prior to the start of the exam, to ensure that all committee members understand the exam process, especially those from outside the Division and/or external to the University. The committee should review the proposed core content areas and come to a consensus regarding the final content to be examined across four questions. One committee member should be assigned to each area as the primary question writer and reviewer of the student's written response. The fifth committee member will review all four questions and written responses to ensure that question depth and breadth are similar across questions and that each response has been graded equitably by the individual committee members. The student should not attend any planning meetings but will be informed of the final core areas selected for the exam. To prepare for the exam and gain insight into the type of questions that will be asked, the student should draft at least two questions, one each related to occupational science and research methods. The Chair shall provide feedback and engage in an iterative process of editing until the question achieves the depth and breadth appropriate for a qualifying examination. The student may choose to submit as many questions across the four content areas as desired.

Written Exam

The written portion of the exam, which must be completed first, consists of responses to four questions. At least one question must focus on core concepts of Occupational Science, one question must be related to research methods and design, and one question must ask about the content within the student's area of focus. Questions should examine the breadth and depth of the student's knowledge in each content area, avoiding a singular focus on content within the proposed research plan. Questions drafted by the student should be considered, but the final questions should be agreed to by the Chair and the individual committee member assigned to review each area. The Chair should consult with the fifth committee member to ensure that the final questions are of similar rigor and do not substantially overlap.

The format for executing the written portion of the exam is at the discretion of the Chair and the Exam Committee; however, to ensure parity among students, the structure must fall within the following guidelines:

- Students will be given 1 work week (M-F) per question for 4 weeks (while also completing their standard 20 hours of lab work per week)
 - This means questions are given at 9 am Monday and due by 11:59 pm Friday to the committee chair
- Questions will be provided individually (one question per week)

- Written responses should be concise essays, well-referenced, proofread, and demonstrate an appropriate depth of knowledge in no more than 10 single-spaced pages (not including references) per question with 1" margins, 11 pt Arial font

Scheduling Examples:

Fall Semester Example

- August - discuss the quals process with committee chair, establish and submit paperwork for your committee
- Second week of September - submit documents to committee chair (who send it to the committee), student and chair work together to develop example questions
- First week of October - begin written qualifying exam (to be completed in October)
- November/December - schedule and conduct oral qualifying exam

Spring Semester Example

- January - discuss the quals process with committee chair, establish and submit paperwork for your committee
- Second week of February - submit documents to committee chair (who send it to the committee), student and chair work together to develop example questions
- First week of March - begin written qualifying exam (to be completed in March)
- April/May - schedule and conduct oral qualifying exam

Each committee member has 2 weeks to grade his/her assigned question and inform the Chair of the "Pass/Fail" grade for that question. Receiving a passing grade on at least 3 out of 4 questions is required for eligibility to take the oral exam; however, the student may be asked to rewrite the failed question before moving on to the oral exam. Per the Graduate School: *"If the committee concludes that the written portion of the exam is so weak that the oral portion cannot counterbalance the poor performance, the student does not proceed to the oral and the exam is failed. The committee may provide the option of a retake but is not required to do so. If the committee decides that a retake is not warranted, the student is dismissed from the program."* A student may be provided with feedback on the strengths and areas for improvement on any question that is graded as "Pass," and a student must be given feedback regarding areas of weakness for questions graded as "Fail." Prior to the oral exam, each committee member is expected to read responses to all questions and may ask questions about any of the submitted responses during the oral exam. If the student's written examination is satisfactory, the student may proceed to the oral portion of the exam. The student must complete the oral portion within 60 days of submitting the completed written portion to the qualifying exam committee. If additional material is to be covered in the oral portion, the committee should notify the student of the content expectations in advance.

Oral Exam

The oral exam is primarily based on the questions from the written exam but can include additional questions from the committee related to the student's cognate area and dissertation plans. The oral section does not need to occur in the same semester as the written portion, but it must occur within 60 days following the last day of the written exam (e.g., the day of submission of the last question). A 2-hour limit is set for the oral exam. This exam is not open to the public.

Outcomes of Qualification Exam

There are three possible results of a qualifying exam:

- Pass, and proceed to candidacy based on a favorable vote by a majority of committee members.
- Fail, with the option to retake either specific sections of the exam or the whole exam, at the discretion of a majority of the committee. The student may not be required to repeat parts of the qualifying examination passed on the first administration. The retaking of a failed qualifying examination or any portion of a qualifying exam must occur between one and six months from the date of the first examination. If not otherwise enrolled, the student must be enrolled in GRSC 800abz *Studies for the Qualifying Examination* in the term in which any portion of the exam is repeated.
- Fail, resulting in dismissal from the program. If a majority of the committee concludes that the written portion of the exam is so weak that the oral portion cannot compensate for it, the student does not proceed to the oral portion, and the exam is failed. The committee may provide the option of a retake, but is not required to do so. If a majority of the committee determines that a retake is not warranted, the student will be dismissed from the program.

A student may not take the comprehensive or qualifying examination more than twice and must be appropriately enrolled at USC during the semester in which any such examination is taken or retaken. A student who fails the qualifying

examination a second time will be dismissed from the degree program at the end of the semester in which the second examination was taken. No exceptions are permitted.

Example of Oral Qualifying Exam Schedule

Example Oral Qualifying Exam Schedule (2 hours total):

(10 min) 0-10 min -	Send student out of room; committee discusses Bring student back; begin qualifying exam
(20 min) 10-30 min -	Question 1 discussion
(20 min) 30-50 min -	Question 2 discussion
(20 min) 50-70 min -	Question 3 discussion
(20 min) 70-90 min -	Question 4 discussion
(10 min) 90-100 min -	Overall discussion with student
(10 min) 100-110 min -	Send student out of room; committee deliberates
(10 min) 110-120 min -	Bring student back; committee provides decision; committee chair provides additional feedback to student separately

Additional Procedures

Enrollment: Generally, the qualifying exam should be completed no later than the second semester after the completion of all required coursework. If not otherwise enrolled, a student must enroll in GRSC 800abz *Studies for the Qualifying Examination* during the semester in which they take the qualifying examination. GRSC 800 is a zero-unit course, but it fulfills the requirement for continuous enrollment and allows all RA stipends or fellowship payments to continue while a student completes the qualifying exam process. Students are allowed to enroll in the GRSC 800abz courses a maximum of three times, first in GRSC 800a, followed by GRSC 800b, and, only if necessary, GRSC 800z. Because two semesters of preparation for the qualifying examination should be sufficient, students enrolling in GRSC 800z must obtain a D-clearance from the Graduate School. In addition, the student will receive a warning letter from their program outlining academic expectations and a path toward completing the PhD in a timely manner.

Scheduling: Students must reserve a room for their oral exam and inform the Division's Student Services Advisor of their oral exam date, who will facilitate completion of the required forms. The "*Report on the Qualifying Exam*" form will be provided to the Chair of the committee several days before the exam. The Chair is responsible for returning the completed form to the Student Services Advisor no later than 48-hours after the exam. The student is not allowed to deliver the form and the form should not be delivered directly to the Graduate School.

Academic Integrity: The qualification process is considered an examination that is subject to academic integrity policies of the University and Chan Division. Students may not use artificial intelligence (AI) to write any portions of their exam. Students, advisors, and committee members shall not share questions, written responses, or details of any closed oral exam with other students. This does not limit candidates or faculty from providing general advice, mentorship, or review of questions written by the student who is preparing for the exam.

Report on the PhD Qualifying Exam: Upon the successful conclusion of the qualifying exam, the committee will certify on the *Report on the PhD Qualifying Examination* that: (1) the exam was appropriately rigorous; (2) the student's performance on the exam was at the doctoral level; and (3) the entire qualifying examination process was fair and in keeping with USC's academic and ethical standards. The *Report on the PhD Qualifying Examination* is available to graduate advisers on the Graduate School website in myGradSchool.

Advancement to Candidacy: Graduate students are officially advanced to candidacy for the PhD degree when they have completed the residency requirement and passed the written and oral portions of the PhD qualifying examination.

Application for the PhD: After advancing to candidacy, students must contact their academic department or program to initiate an online degree check that will be transmitted to the Degree Progress Department. Degree Progress counselors will prepare a Degree Audit Report (STARS Report) listing any remaining requirements. Programs must apply all appropriate courses in the Student Information System for the degree to be conferred.

PHD POLICIES & PROCEDURES

Policy Name:**Dissertation Proposal****Approval Date:** September 10, 2021**Previous Version:** November 21, 2016

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

The first step of any research is the development of a protocol that lays out the problem to be addressed or gap in knowledge to be filled, a theory or framework under which this problem may be approached, and a detailed description of the methods to be used in carrying out the research. Students in a PhD program must be able to complete this step prior to undertaking their own independent research. To ensure that a student has the requisite skills in designing a research study, the student will develop a proposal for the research to be completed as part of the dissertation that will be reviewed and approved by the student's dissertation committee.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Proposal Requirements

A dissertation proposal must be developed in consultation with the Dissertation Committee Chair to meet the following guidelines:

- Consist of at least 30 double-spaced pages.
- Include a rationale for the dissertation, a concise and incisive synthesis of literature, a methods section, any preliminary data gathered, and publication plans for work that emanates from the dissertation.
- Additional specific formatting requested by the student's committee chair or committee members. Students may be encouraged to develop their proposal in a format like the opening chapter(s) of the final dissertation. When appropriate, the proposal may be written in the form of a grant application, with addenda/appendices that address any of the requirements listed above that would not otherwise be included in the grant application.

Committee Review and Approval

The student is required to obtain approval of their dissertation proposal from the Dissertation Committee through a proposal meeting. Scheduling and organization of the meeting is at the discretion of the Chair and Committee, but should be completed with the following considerations in mind:

- The proposal meeting must occur, and the project must be approved by all members of the Dissertation Committee, prior to the initiation of any data collection for the dissertation. If preliminary data have been obtained prior to the proposal approval, the student must describe the independent contribution and gain approval from their committee to include this work as part of their dissertation.
- The Dissertation Committee members should have each signed the *Appointment of Committee Form* prior to conducting the proposal meeting. In accordance with sustainability policies, the proposal should be provided to these committee members electronically (e.g., e-mail, file-sharing).
- If the student submits a full proposal prior to the qualifying exam, the proposal meeting may occur immediately following the successful passing of the oral qualifying exam. However, this proposal meeting should be a distinctly different activity. The proposal should not be discussed during the oral exam, and the quality of the proposal should not be considered by the committee when determining the results of the student's qualifying exam.
- If the proposal is developed after passing the qualifying exam, the proposal draft should be submitted to the committee a minimum of 2 weeks prior to the dissertation proposal meeting.
- No official approval form is required. Instead, verbal assent from each committee member in support of the proposed dissertation is required for a student to begin their dissertation work. The committee may approve the entire dissertation or selected portions, in which case approval can occur at a follow-up meeting or be deferred to individual committee members. If none of the proposal is approved a second full committee meeting is required.

- Substantial adaptations or changes to the dissertation after approval of the proposal should be presented to and vetted by all members of the student's committee in a manner deemed appropriate by the committee.

Policy Name:	Dissertation Format
Approval Date:	July 31, 2025
Previous Version:	November 21, 2016

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

The PhD dissertation represents independent scholarly work that makes an original contribution to knowledge. It is a demonstration that the PhD candidate has achieved sufficient mastery in the field to pursue independent research and scholarship. Completing this final requirement in the PhD in Occupational Science indicates that the student achieved success in the mechanics of completing an independent research project from conceptualization through interpretation. It is important to ensure that the results of this process are systematically and fully documented in the written dissertation.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Per the Graduate School:

A dissertation is an original contribution to knowledge in the field and a demonstration that the PhD candidate has sufficiently mastered the field to pursue independent research and scholarship. A dissertation represents the individual candidate's research and writing. In fields where collaborative research has become the norm, the candidate is the sole author of the dissertation. When a paper included in a three-paper dissertation has co-authors, the dissertation candidate must specify their contributions to the research and delineate the contributions of their colleagues.

Dissertations are required to be written in English. Exceptions require the approval of the Vice Provost for Academic Programs or their designee before beginning the work. The exceptions will be granted only when there is scholarly justification.

Approved Dissertation Models

Two dissertation models are approved for use by students completing a PhD in Occupational Science, including a *traditional* format and an *article-based* format. Decision of the dissertation model should be made in consultation with the Chair and Committee. Descriptions of these two models follow

Traditional Format

The traditional dissertation format is an appropriate option for students conducting work that may not be easily or readily divided into discrete publishable units. The traditional dissertation model includes a minimum of four chapters, including: **Introduction/Background, Methods, Results/Findings, and Discussion/Conclusions**. In most cases, students will find it necessary to create multiple sub-sections, especially within the background and findings chapters of the document. In some cases, it may be appropriate for these sections to be divided into multiple chapters. Students should work directly with their Chair and Committee to determine the most appropriate organization based on their work.

Article-Based Format

The article-based format is the preferred style for the majority of students in the PhD in Occupational Science program. When utilized appropriately, this format promotes the completion of publication ready manuscripts. The article-based dissertation model should include a minimum of five chapters, including: **Introduction, 3 Journal-Ready Articles, and Discussion/Conclusions**. Additional considerations for this format follow:

- The **introduction** should include an overview of the current state of knowledge and provide a clear statement of purpose for the articles that follow.
- The need for a separate **methods** chapter is negotiated with the chair. This chapter may be necessary should the scope of the methods sections in each article be inadequate to explain overarching or highly specific methods used to complete the dissertation research.
- The **three articles** that comprise the results of the dissertation should be independently written by the candidate in journal-ready format (including the abstract). These **three articles** may be from a set of related studies or several distinct findings from one study. If including a set of related studies, the overarching themes linking the studies must be clearly delineated in the introduction chapter of the dissertation and fully integrated in the discussion/conclusions chapter.

- At least **two of the three articles** should be based on research data collected and/or analyzed by the student as part of the independent dissertation process. If the third article is conceptual, theoretical, or metaanalysis/systematic review, it should be clearly related to the student's original research reported in the other two articles. In addition, the content of this third article should not overlap significantly with the introduction or conclusion chapters of the dissertation.
- Discussion of authorship for eventual publication of articles from the dissertation should begin at the time of dissertation proposal.
- The **discussion/conclusion** chapter should synthesize the findings of the three articles and provide a thorough discussion of how the findings relate to, advance, or inform current evidence. This chapter should suggest future directions for advancing knowledge and/or practice in the content area.

Graduate School Formatting Requirements

The Graduate School requires that the following elements be included as part of the final dissertation:

- Title Page:

(Dissertation Title)

(Author)

Mrs. T.H. Chan Division of Occupational Science and Occupational Therapy

Doctor of Philosophy in Occupational Science

University of Southern California

(Degree Date)
- Table of Contents
- Main Text
- References/Bibliography
- Additional elements, such as data tables/graphs, a dedication page, a signature page, formal acknowledgements, and appended information may be included at the discretion of the student.

Submitting Manuscripts from the Dissertation for Publication

While students should be encouraged to submit manuscript for publication, multiple guidelines should be followed when submitting work from the dissertation for consideration of publication:

- Discussion of authorship for eventual publication of articles from the dissertation should begin at the time of dissertation proposal.
- The timing for submitting articles from the dissertation for publication is negotiated with the Chair and Committee. Students should not submit any manuscript that is part of their dissertation without approval of their Committee.
- Submission of manuscripts may occur prior to completion of the full dissertation. If articles are submitted prior to final defense and approval of the dissertation, the chapter being submitted must be approved by the committee as quality independent work. Unanimous agreement that an article meets the necessary quality requirements for independent final dissertation work should be obtained prior to the committee contributing any edits.
- Only original, independently written manuscripts should be included as chapters in the final dissertation. Small copy edits and other changes made by the student based on committee feedback are appropriate; however, major edits completed by any committee for purposes of publication should not be included as part of the dissertation.

Policy Name: *Dissertation Defense: Process, Scheduling, and Announcements*

Approval Date: July 31, 2025

Previous Version: August 15, 2021

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

The final defense of the dissertation is the penultimate event leading to graduation for every PhD student. Given the ramifications of this event, it is vital that it meets the requirements set forth by the University to ensure that the student has in fact completed their independent dissertation work at a level commensurate with the prestige of the degree to be conferred. At the same time, it is important to publicly recognize the significant amount of time and effort each student puts into the process, and to ensure that the student's work is shared in an effective way with the scholarly community. As such, in addition to the official, private defense of the dissertation, it is important that the process involves notification of the scheduled defense and public presentation of the work.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Overview from the Graduate School:

After passing all required courses and the qualifying examination, and after meeting all other requirements, the candidate must write and defend the dissertation. The doctoral dissertation must be an original contribution to scholarship or scientific knowledge. The dissertation defense assesses whether this standard has been met.

While the oral examination is open to the general university community, only the members of the dissertation committee have the authority to recommend acceptance of the dissertation. Their recommendation must be unanimous. For the dissertation defense, some committee members may participate remotely. The committee chair and the student must be present in person, unless the relevant dean and the Vice Provost for Academic Programs provide express written permission for remote participation.

Approval to Schedule the Dissertation Defense

The student should seek approval from his or her committee chair prior to scheduling the defense. In general, the student should have completed all data collection, be nearly, if not completely, finished analyzing all data, and have an initial draft of the majority of the written document completed prior to scheduling the defense. The deadline for submitting the final written draft of the dissertation is at the discretion of the student's individual committee members; however, to ensure the committee feels the student is adequately prepared to move to the defense, the document should be provided to the committee **no fewer than two weeks** prior to the scheduled defense.

Scheduling of the Dissertation Defense

Once the chair has given approval, the student should confirm a final date with his or her dissertation committee. Once identified, the student should notify the Division's Student Services Advisor of the scheduled date as soon as possible, but **no later than four weeks** prior to the agreed-upon date. The student should work with the Degree Progress Coordinator to reserve room(s) for the defense as follows:

(1) Public Presentation: A room with presentation capabilities, large enough to accommodate the committee, PhD-program faculty, PhD-student peers, and other public guests (e.g., at least 15 people) should be reserved. If the topic is of significant public interest that a large number of students or faculty guests are anticipated, or the student wishes to invite numerous outside guests, a larger room may be needed. The room for the public defense should be scheduled for the first hour.

(2) Private Oral Defense: A room to accommodate the student and committee members (e.g., 4-6 people) should also be reserved. To ensure adequate time, this room should be scheduled for up to 2 additional hours following the public presentation.

*The private oral defense may be held in the same room as the public presentation, provided that the student and committee are able to expedite clearing the room following the public presentation, the room is not too large to be conducive to a small group discussion, the room is sufficiently private to ensure confidentiality, and arrangements are made to accommodate any outside guests who wish to remain nearby during the private defense.

Once scheduled, the student should notify the PhD Program Director and the Division's Event Coordinator with the date, time, and location of the public presentation. The Event Coordinator will prepare a draft announcement.

Announcement of the Dissertation Defense

Once the student has submitted the final draft document to his or her committee and receives approval to proceed from the committee chair, the student should notify the PhD Program Director and confirm the event details with the Division's Event Coordinator. The PhD Program Director will send the public announcement and invitation to attend the public presentation to the Chan faculty, PhD students, and other relevant parties. The student, chair, or other members of the committee may share this announcement with any other individuals they wish to have in attendance. This public announcement should be made **no later than one week** prior to the scheduled defense.

Procedure for the Dissertation Defense

1. Pre-Defense Activities

- The student must activate a profile in the online Thesis Center and complete the new manuscript submission profile.
- A PDF of the signed *Appointment of Committee* form must be uploaded to the checklist page in the online Thesis Center.
- The student must complete the Survey of Earned Doctorates and upload a PDF of the completion certificate to the checklist page of the online Thesis Center.
- On the day of the defense and prior to the start time, the student should generate the *Approval to Submit* form in the online Thesis Center. This action will generate an e-mail to each committee member with a unique link to register their decision regarding the student's dissertation.
- Additional information can be found in the associated guideline: *Dissertation Submission*

2. Presentation

- Limited to 40 minutes of presentation time
- Funding sources should be recognized as part of the presentation.
- Public guests (e.g., university faculty, staff, family, friends) are welcome and encouraged to attend

2. Audience Questions

- No questions should be asked during the presentation; however, up to 15 minutes of time should be allotted for questions from the public audience at the conclusion of the presentation.
- Committee members should not ask any questions during the formal presentation time
- The committee chair should closely monitor time and is responsible for supporting the student to successfully manage the audience during the presentation.

3. Private Oral Defense

- At the end of the public question period, the committee will convene with the student for the private oral defense. If the private defense is to be held in the same room, all public guests will be asked to leave the room in an expeditious manner. Public guests who wish to stay should find a location to wait that is not immediately outside of the room to ensure that there are no noise disturbances.
- At the direction of the committee chair, the student may be asked to provide additional information for the benefit of the committee to start this private session. For example, the chair may ask that the student provide an overview of any major changes, challenges, or other updates to the dissertation process that were not originally planned at the time of the dissertation defense.
- The committee will engage in a discussion regarding the student's work, asking questions of the student for clarification, further interpretation, or other purposes. The questions and discussion should broadly cover the entire dissertation that is presented in the written work, not just the information provided during the presentation.
- Organization and facilitation of this session is at the direction of the committee chair.
- The session may be as brief as deemed necessary but should not last longer than 2-hours. In general, it is anticipated that this private defense will last approximately 1 hour.

4. Committee Deliberation and Decision

- Once the chair ends the private defense, the student will be asked to leave the room.
- The committee will privately deliberate the outcome of the defense process.
- When the committee has made a final decision, they will sign the appropriate form and invite the student back into the room.

- The chair will announce the results to the student and the committee will provide any immediate feedback deemed necessary.

5. Post-Defense Activities

- In the case of a successful defense, the student's chair should either send a public notice to the PhD faculty and student listservs or notify the PhD Program Director who will send the announcement.
- Each committee member should provide feedback, recommendations, and other edits for improving and finalizing the written document. The student and his/her chair should work with each committee member to determine how involved the member would like to be in reviewing future edits of the document.
- After the dissertation defense has been completed, and the committee determines that no further edits or revisions are required of the dissertation manuscript, each member of the committee electronically certifies on the *Approval to Submit Defended and Final Copy of Doctoral Dissertation* that: (1) the defense was appropriately rigorous; (2) the student's dissertation makes an original and substantial contribution to its field of study; and (3) the defense process was fair and in keeping with USC's academic and ethical standards. This includes adherence to departmental formatting requirements. No changes can be made to the manuscript's content after the *Approval to Submit* form is complete. The *Approval to Submit* form is electronically available through [Thesis Center](#), the Graduate School's online thesis and dissertation processing system. The dissertation committee must unanimously agree for the student to pass the defense.
- Each committee member must register their final decision regarding the dissertation on the electronic *Approval to Submit Form* using the weblink that was sent by the graduate school via e-mail prior to the dissertation event (refer to 'pre-defense activities' above).
- The student is responsible for finalizing the written document, following-up with individual committee members to obtain their signature on the electronic *Approval to Submit Form*, and meeting all deadlines for the initial and final submission of that document to the University.

Reception

The Division will not host a reception following an individual defense; however, the student or the student's chair/advisor may host a reception at any time following a successful defense should he or she wish to do so.

Policy Name:**Dissertation Submission****Approval Date:** July 31, 2025**Previous Version:** August 13, 2023

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

The final requirement of the PhD in Occupational Science is the successful completion of a research project and approval of a final written dissertation. Completion of the dissertation process is the hallmark of any PhD program, as it serves as the indicator that the student has been deemed by the program and University to have achieved success in the mechanics of completing an independent research project from conceptualization through interpretation. To ensure that this process is rigorous and that all students adhere to the highest standards of scholarship, a multi-stage review process is required.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Thesis Center Guidelines for Dissertation Submission<https://graduateschool.usc.edu/current-students/thesis-dissertation-submission/>**Link to all forms:** <https://graduateschool.usc.edu/current-students/guidelines-and-forms/>

Note that any guidance from the USC Graduate School supercedes the instructions written below, as things may have changed.

Step 1 - Before You Defend**Finalize your committee**

- Download the Appointment/Change of Committee form
- Complete this form well in advance of your defense date, as it requires the signature of the school dean, department chair, and committee members. At the time of submission to Thesis Center, all applicable fields on the form must be complete and current
- Save a PDF of your completed Appointment/Change of Committee form

Create a profile in Thesis Center

- Click the Login button to create a Thesis Center profile
- After clicking "Register", a verification email will be sent. Click the link to verify the registration. This will activate your Thesis Center profile.
- Click 'Create a new profile'
- Fill out the "New Manuscript Submission Profile" in Thesis Center with your information. Refer to your Appointment/Change of Committee form to complete the Committee Information section. They must match.
- Click "Create"
- Upload a PDF of the Appointment/Change of Committee form to the Checklist page in Thesis Center

Complete the Survey of Earned Doctorates (SED)

You are only required to complete the first section. Save a PDF of the completion certificate. Upload the PDF to the Checklist page. The survey is available here: <https://sed-ncses.org>

Step 2 - Generate the Approval to Submit Form

The morning of your defense, you may go to the Checklist page in Thesis Center to generate the electronic *Approval to Submit* form. Your action will prompt Thesis Center to send an email containing a link to the form to all of your committee members. Your committee members must indicate their approval by the [Checklist Submission Deadline](#).

Step 3 - Defend Your Dissertation or Thesis

- Defend your dissertation or thesis, and make any changes to your manuscript that your committee requires

- Monitor the progress of the electronic *Approval to Submit* form through the Checklist page of your Thesis Center profile

Step 4 - Complete the Checklist

In order for you to submit your thesis or dissertation, the following items must be complete on the Checklist page in Thesis Center by the [Checklist Submission Deadline](#):

- A PDF of the completed Appointment/Change of Committee form
- All committee members must have indicated their approval on the *Approval to Submit* form
- PhD students only: a PDF of the completion certificate from the Survey of Earned Doctorates (SED)

Once the expected term of degree conferral has commenced, the Thesis Coordinator will verify the Checklist. Normally, this takes 2-3 business days.

After verification, you will receive an email confirmation that the manuscript may be uploaded. The subject will read: "Upload Thesis/Dissertation to Thesis Center".

NOTE: submissions made past the deadline will not be processed until the beginning of the following semester.

Step 5 - Submit Your Manuscript

- Doctoral dissertation manuscripts must be submitted to the Thesis Center on the [Graduate School website](#). At the time of submission, all manuscripts should be formatted and edited according to the style determined by the student's department or program. Students have three months from the date the committee chair signs the electronic *Approval to Submit* form to complete any required formatting corrections in the Thesis Center. After the Graduate School has approved the dissertation manuscript, a copy is sent to the USC Libraries. All dissertations will be made available digitally via the USC Libraries.
- In the Submissions page of the Thesis Center profile, upload a single PDF file of the manuscript that was approved by your committee. Be sure to upload by the [Upload Deadline](#). This deadline pertains to the initial upload of the manuscript
- Confirmation of your submission will be sent to the email address you've entered in Thesis Center. The subject will read: "Manuscript Received"
- At this time, the manuscript processing fee will be applied to your student fee bill.
- The Thesis Coordinator will review the manuscript for format and presentation and, should any changes be required, send a notification email. Normally this takes place within 3 weeks during peak submission times and sooner during off-peak times.

NOTE: submissions made past the deadline will not be processed until the beginning of the following semester.

Step 6 - Make Formatting Changes

- If you receive an email with the subject "Formatting Changes Available", follow the instructions in the email and return to the Submissions page in Thesis Center to view the corrections listed there
- Address the corrections, and upload the revised manuscript to the Submissions Page as a single PDF
- The Thesis Coordinator will review the manuscript for format and presentation and send a notification email, should any additional changes be required
- After all corrections have been addressed, an email confirmation will be sent

Step 7 - Finalize Publishing Information

Monitor your email for a message from the USC Digital Library. You will confirm the thesis or dissertation publishing information with the USC Digital Library. At this point, you will be able to upload any necessary supplemental media files to accompany the PDF thesis or dissertation manuscript. You must respond to the email to finalize publishing information with the USC Digital Library. The deadline for finalizing publishing information is the degree conferral date of the given term. This is the final step required for degree conferral.

The degree will normally be officially posted within one month.

Additional Considerations

- The Graduate School provides a [schedule for completing the dissertation](#) on its website. Students must submit complete documentation and finish all corrections to the manuscript before the degree can be conferred. Upon completing all requirements, the official USC transcript will serve as evidence of the degree until the student receives their diploma.
- Students should follow all guidelines for proper formatting of the dissertation detailed in the associated policy, *Dissertation Formatting*.
- Registration in dissertation coursework (i.e., OT 794) is required for at least two semesters before the dissertation can be submitted and continuous enrollment is necessary until the dissertation has been submitted to the Graduate School. After completing OT 794a-d, students may enroll in OT 794z to maintain continuous enrollment status until the dissertation is completed.
- Degrees will be awarded for the term in which all requirements have been met, including approval of the final version of the thesis or dissertation and submission of supporting documents bearing authorized signatures. Students and advisors should be aware of the posted deadlines for each semester:
<http://graduateschool.usc.edu/current-students/thesis-dissertation-submission/submission-deadlines/>
- The thesis center processes documents and manuscripts in the order received.

SECTION III: MENTORING AND GUIDANCE

Policy Name:***Advising Plan and Memorandum of Understanding*****Approval Date:** August 15, 2018**Previous Version:** November 21, 2016

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

In academics, a *mentor* is often used synonymously with *faculty adviser*; however, there is a fundamental difference between mentoring and advising. While advising involves providing guidance, mentoring involves developing personal and professional relationship meant to support growth of the mentee. A mentoring relationship develops over an extended period, during which a student's needs and the nature of the relationship tend to change. A mentor should try to be aware of these changes and vary the degree and type of attention, help, advice, information, and encouragement that he or she provides. In general, an effective mentoring relationship is characterized by mutual respect, trust, understanding, and empathy. Guidelines for developing strong mentoring relationships through an advising plan and memorandum of understanding are provided as a means to promote effective mentoring of PhD students in Occupational Science.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Assignment of Mentor/Advisor

When a student is admitted to the program, he/she is assigned to a faculty mentor based on the student's research interests and the availability of a faculty member to add a new student to their laboratory. Identifying a one-to-one, student-to-mentor match is a key consideration in the admissions process, with the expectation that the student will become fully immersed in the research of the faculty mentor immediately upon entering the program and remain associated with that mentor throughout the entirety of the program. Thus, it is anticipated that this mentor will become the Chair of the student's Qualifying and Dissertation Committees.

Students in the PhD in Occupational Science can be mentored by any member of the PhD Program Faculty, typically individuals with primary appointments in the Chan Division who are either tenured or on tenure-track (see associated policy: *PhD Program Faculty Roster*). On occasion, and assuming there is academic justification, a student may be co-mentored by two faculty members. This may occur when a student's primary immersion experience is in the research lab of a junior faculty member (i.e., Assistant Professor), or when a student is assigned to work with a Research Faculty member who is the principal investigator of a funded project that requires the support of a PhD student research assistant. In other cases, co-mentors may be approved when a student has blended interests between two faculty members, when two faculty are collaborating on a joint research project, or in other circumstances where mixed expertise is required.

Change of Mentor/Advisor

In most cases, a student will remain with the same mentor/advisor throughout the entirety of the PhD program; however, certain circumstances may require a change in advisor. These cases may include a significant shift in availability of funding or other supports within a given mentor's research lab, the departure of a mentor from the university, or arrival of a new faculty member to the institution, or significant irreconcilable differences between the mentor and mentee. In the rare case that circumstances arise requiring reassignment, the Division will take the student's academic needs and trajectory into account to arrange a reasonable accommodation. Requests for changes in a mentor can be initiated by either the student or the faculty advisor. Such requests should be submitted to the PhD Program Director in writing, along with a brief explanation for the reason of the request. Each request will be reviewed and processed in a manner appropriate to the individual situation. Depending on the circumstances, the Associate Chair for Academic, Faculty, and Student Affairs may also be consulted, and serves as a resource for all PhD students.

Developing an Advising Plan and Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

An advising plan and memorandum of understanding should be developed through a collaborative effort between the student and his/her mentor. This document must cover the following:

- The mentee's short- and long-term career goals
- Activities the mentee will undertake and how each contributes to his/her career development goals
- Educational objectives to be accomplished in the immersion experience
- Mentor's expectations of the mentees conduct
- Mentees expectations regarding interactions with the mentor

- Resolution of concerns, issues, or breaches of trust

A sample MOU is appended to this policy that can be used as a template. Each individual MOU may vary from this template, but every MOU must include the final section on resolution of concerns, issues or breaches of trust. The MOU **may not** circumvent any University or Program policy (e.g., GRA Work Hours Policy). The MOU should be signed by the student and mentor, and a copy of the signed document should be provided to the PhD Program Director and Degree Progress Coordinator no later than the end of the student's first semester in the program. The MOU should be reviewed and updated at least annually; only major updates to this MOU need to be submitted to the program administrators.

On-Going Advising

Students should have regular meetings with their faculty advisor/mentor, and it is expected that the student and advisor will meet at least quarterly with the express purpose of discussing academic standing, progress toward degree completion, and overall performance in other activities (e.g., immersion participation, manuscripts). Any concerns regarding satisfactory progress should be discussed and documented, with development of a clear action plan for improvement.

SAMPLE PHD STUDENT-MENTOR MOU

The Division of Occupational Science and Occupational Therapy at the University of Southern California is committed to developing career scientists who can confidently make a valued contribution to knowledge generation. As such, PhD students are immersed in the culture and community of scholarship within a research laboratory. The intensive immersion is intended to provide a learner-centered research apprenticeship that will provide experiential training in interdisciplinary research and grantsmanship including publications, data collection, data analysis, and theory building. As part of this learner-centered approach, the following MOU has been built collaboratively between the PhD mentee and the primary mentor in (*INSERT LAB NAME*). This MOU is intended to hold each party accountable and assure a mutually successful outcome of the immersion experience and both parties will review and update the terms of this MOU annually.

A. Mentee's Career Goals and Mentor Support

- *Insert statement of mentee's research interests.*
- *Identify long-term career goal(s). This may be general (e.g., career scientist) or specific (e.g., tenure-track academic researcher) and will likely shift as the mentee progresses through the program.*
- *Identify any activities, supports, training, or specific education undertaken by the mentee and supported by the mentor related to the research interests and setting a foundation for achieve long-term goals. This list should include any items that are specifically tailored to the mentee, which go beyond the general educational objectives of the PhD program and immersion experience listed below.*

B. Educational Objectives for Immersion Experience

- Experience socialization in a community of research and occupational science, to include:
 - Understanding appropriate conduct in academic, scholarly, and professional interactions
 - Becoming comfortable and confident with experiencing and entering into discourse within scholarly discussions
 - Gaining skill in successfully completing knowledge transactions with scholarly colleagues and peers, as well as mentorship and guidance of more junior individuals in the laboratory
- Gain knowledge in the design and conduct of research, to include:
 - Appreciating research method design that crosses all aspects of occupational science research (e.g., qualitative inquiry, quantitative methods, mixed and multi-modal methods)
 - Understanding and participating in the responsible conduct of research and being proficient at completing and maintaining internal review board materials
 - Evaluating appropriate outcome measurement tools, developing data collection instruments, managing research data, and analyzing data using the most appropriate techniques
- Develop skill in grantsmanship, to include:
 - Understanding various levels of funding agencies and types of funding opportunities
 - Evaluating research priorities, language of funding organization, and proposal announcement to ensure proposals are responsive and appropriately targeted
 - Learning steps of the process in grant development, submission, review, funding, and management
- Become proficient in the presentation and dissemination of scholarly work, to include:
 - Gaining confidence in providing professional and scholarly presentations to colleagues, both locally, regionally, and nationally
 - Learning the process of identifying appropriate target audiences for scholarly dissemination, evaluating appropriate publishable units of work, developing and submitting scholarly publications.
 - Evaluating to the quality of evidence provided in presentation or published works both in person and through peer-review activities for scholarly journals
- Gain foundational skills in academic teaching, to include:

- Developing confidence in providing didactic and/or laboratory-based content to students
- Understanding foundational aspects of course planning, presentation development, and evaluation of student learning

C. Mentor's Expectations of Mentee Conduct in Immersion

(List expectations of the mentee in the lab immersion, being sure to include any specific or unique obligations, such as expectations for working during academic breaks due to grant requirements. Note that expectations here may not circumvent any policies of the University or the Program. Examples provided)

- Be present in the research lab during required work hours, to ensure immersion in the community of research practice
- Be punctual for meetings and other activities as scheduled
- Be dressed appropriately on a regular basis
- *Actively* participate in lab research, meetings, and other activities
- Provide guidance and mentorship to volunteers, undergraduate students, and master-level professional students
- Seek out the mentor and ask for guidance on a regular basis
- Continually communicate needs, goals, and any concerns or questions to the mentor
- Be open to reasonable feedback and constructive criticism when these are appropriate

D. Mentee's Expectations of the Mentor

(List mentee's expectations of the mentor. Examples provided)

- Provide reasonable assigned duties in the lab on a weekly basis that do not exceed the agreed upon weekly hours for the immersion experience.
- Be available and open to discussion promoting the mentee's educational and professional goals at the agreed upon times.
- Be up front about any concerns that the mentor has regarding the mentee's fulfillment of lab duties or conduct within the department.
- Maximally support the mentee's autonomy within reasonable and practical constraints as concerned with the mentee's educational and professional development.
- Provide assignments within the immersion experience that are appropriately graded to not exceed the mentee's current abilities.

D. Resolution of Concerns, Issues, or Breaches of Trust

It is our hope in signing this document to provide an agreement between mentor and mentee that problems will be resolved in a timely and appropriate fashion if and when they arise. The first recourse to resolve concerns, issues, or breaches of trust will always be open discussion during formal or informal mentoring times. Should the mentee not feel comfortable discussing concerns directly with the mentor, or have concerns that are unresolved following discussion, the mentee should seek out guidance from the PhD Program Director. Depending on the circumstances, the Associate Chair for Academic, Faculty, and Student Affairs may also be consulted, and serves as a resource for all PhD students.

(INSERT MENTEE NAME), Mentee

Date

(INSERT MENTOR NAME), Mentor

Date

PHD POLICIES & PROCEDURES

Policy Name: *Committees for the Qualifying Exam and Dissertation*

Approval Date: July 31, 2025

Previous Version: August 15, 2020

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

The purpose of a PhD program is to develop individual scholars who have both the requisite knowledge within a core, theoretical area and the aptitude for conducting independent research. Students in the PhD program in Occupational Science demonstrate that they have achieved these goals through a comprehensive, qualifying exam and defense of an independent dissertation. To ensure each student receives the necessary mentoring and to provide broad oversight in the evaluation of the student at each step of the process, a committee of faculty experts must be developed.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Graduate School Committee Requirements

Because the goal of USC PhD programs is to create scholars who will shape their fields in a wide range of settings, the University encourages PhD students to take advantage of the full array of faculty expertise available at USC. This includes the expertise of tenured, tenure-track, research, teaching, practitioner, and clinical faculty. The committee may include up to one faculty member from an institution other than USC, called an **“external member.”** This is not the same as an **“outside member,”** which is a USC faculty member from outside the student’s home program.

Any faculty member – external, outside, or from the student’s home program – who serves on a PhD committee must have a professional profile that demonstrates academic impact on the field in significant, measurable ways. The judgement about these qualifications will be made on the basis of hard evidence. For example, peer-reviewed publications in major journals, grant funding, and exceptionally influential practice in a given field, taking into account the person’s total career, current stage of career, and any change in performance in a more recent period. Faculty evaluated on the basis of other criteria will not normally be considered appropriate, except by explicit permission of the Vice Provost for Graduate Programs acting on advice of the Dean of the Ostrow School of Dentistry.

For **faculty within the home program** and **external members**, qualification to serve will be judged by the Dean of the Ostrow School of Dentistry. The CV of an **external member** must be uploaded along with the appointment of committee form and will become part of the official record. For **outside members**, the judgement of qualification to serve will be made by the Dean of the School of the member’s primary appointment.

PhD in Occupational Science Committee Requirements (See Related Policy: *Committee-Eligible Faculty*)

Qualifying Exam Committee

The Qualifying Exam Committee provides guidance to the pre-candidacy student and oversees the Qualifying Exam. The qualifying exam committee is responsible for supervising the student’s preparation for the exam and for the fair and timely administration and evaluation of the written and oral parts of the examination.

The qualifying exam committee is composed of five members. The committee chair and at least two additional members must have an appointment in the student’s program.

The *Appointment or Change of Qualifying Exam or Dissertation Committee* form, available on the [Graduate School website](#), establishes the committee for the qualifying exam. The form requires the signatures of each committee member, the department chair or program director, the dean or the dean’s designee. This form must be submitted to the Program Director for Division and School approval at least one month prior to completing the qualifying exam. The 5-member committee is determined in consultation between the student and his/her Chair.

- Three members must be regular faculty from the Division.
- At least one of the Division faculty must be Tenured.
- At least one member must be faculty from outside or external to the Division. This member can have no more than a 50% financial appointment with the OS/OT Division.
- The fifth member can be faculty from within the Division (for a maximum of four Division faculty) or represent the student’s cognate area from outside the division.

Changes in Qualifying Exam Committees

The *Appointment or Change of Qualifying Exam or Dissertation Committee* form, available on the [Graduate School website](#), must be completed whenever there is a change to a qualifying exam committee.

Changes to the qualifying exam committee must be made at least 30 days before the qualifying examination occurs. Informal substitutions for the qualifying examination's written or oral parts are not permitted. Changes in a qualifying exam committee are not permitted between the written and oral portions of the examination. Modifications made without the prior approval of the dean of the degree program are not recognized and may invalidate the examination.

The examinations must be scheduled when it is possible for all committee members, including the outside member, to participate. All qualifying exam committee members may participate remotely.

A student may not change committee members after failing the qualifying examination the first time. The same faculty must reexamine the student on the same subject matter. If a faculty member is unable to serve on the committee, the dean of the degree program must be notified in writing in advance of the rescheduled exam to approve the change. The faculty replacement must be approved by the dean of the degree program, and the student must file a change of committee form well in advance of the exam.

Dissertation Committee

The dissertation committee is appointed as soon as possible after the qualifying examination has been passed and a dissertation topic approved. The committee must be appointed at least one month before the dissertation defense. The *Appointment or Change of Qualifying Exam or Dissertation Committee* form, available on the [Graduate School website](#), establishes the dissertation committee. The form requires the signatures of each committee member, the department chair or program director, and the dean or dean's designee. The completed form is filed in the student's home department or program, and a signed copy is provided to the student. Here is an overview of the requirements of the committee:

- The dissertation committee is composed of at least three and no more than five members.
- The committee chair must have an appointment in the Division.
- At least one of the Division faculty must be Tenured.
- At least two members must be Tenured or Tenure-Track faculty at the university.
- Members of the Qualifying Committee can serve on the Dissertation Committee, though it is not required.

PhD students may take advantage of the full array of faculty expertise available at USC. This includes the expertise of tenured, tenure-track, and RTPC (i.e., research, teaching, practitioner, clinical) faculty. A USC faculty member from outside the student's home program is referred to as an "outside member." The committee may also include a faculty member from an institution other than USC, referred to as an "external member."

Any faculty member – external, outside, or from the student's home program – who serves on PhD dissertation and qualifying exam committees must have a professional profile that demonstrates academic impact on the field in significant, measurable ways. The judgment about these qualifications will be made using the following guide:

- For faculty within the student's home program at USC and external faculty members (who are professors at universities other than USC), the dean, or dean's designee, of the school that houses the student's PhD program will assess a professor's qualification to serve
- For outside faculty (who are professors outside the student's program but internal to USC), the judgment of qualification to serve will be made by the dean or dean's designee of the school/college of the outside faculty member's primary appointment.

In both cases, the CV of the external member must be uploaded to the Thesis Center along with the appointment of committee form, and will become part of the official record. Judgments of demonstrated impact will be based on evidence: for example, peer-reviewed publications in major journals and presses, grant funding, and exceptionally influential practice in a given field, taking into account the person's total career, current stage of career, and any changes in performance in a more recent period.

Faculty who are evaluated based on criteria other than those noted above will not normally be considered appropriate members of PhD and qualifying exam committees, except with the explicit permission of the Vice Provost for Academic Programs acting on the advice of the school dean.

Emeriti faculty appointed to a qualifying exam committee when they were full-time faculty at USC may continue to serve on the dissertation committee as "internal" committee members until the student has graduated. Similarly, a former USC

faculty member who was appointed to the qualifying exam committee while they were a full-time faculty member may continue to serve on the dissertation committee as an internal committee member until the student graduates.

Change of Committee

If the Committee Chair and/or student wishes to make a change to her/his qualifying exam or dissertation committee, the change must occur at least 30 days before the exam or final defense occurs. A Change of Committee Form should be downloaded from the Graduate School's website, completed, and submitted to the Degree Progress Coordinator in a timely manner to ensure approvals occur prior to closing of the 30-day window.

Exceptions

Under extenuating circumstances, exceptions to these committee requirements may be possible. Potential reasons for exceptions include continuation of a member or allowance of multiple external members due to relocation of a key committee member to another institution, waiver of requirement due to limited availability of qualified faculty members, and other extenuating circumstances. Any requests for an exception to the guidelines noted for a committee should be made in writing to the PhD Program Coordinator. Requests for exceptions will be reviewed by the PhD Program Director and the Associate Dean/Chair of the Division. If in support of the request, it will be routed to the Dean of the Ostrow School of Dentistry and/or the Vice Provost for Graduate Programs as necessary.

Forms

Students can download all forms from the graduate school website: <https://graduateschool.usc.edu/current-students/guidelines-and-forms/>

Policy Name:**Guidance/Dissertation Committee-Eligible Faculty****Approval Date:** June 23, 2024**Previous Version:** August 15, 2022

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

Any faculty member who serves on PhD dissertation and qualifying exam committees must have a professional profile that demonstrates academic impact in significant, measurable ways based on hard evidence: for example, peer-reviewed publications in major journals, grant funding, and exceptionally influential practice, considering the person's total career, current stage of career and any changes in performance in a more recent period. Faculty who are evaluated based on criteria other than those noted above will not normally be considered appropriate members of PhD and qualifying exam committees, except by explicit permission of the Vice Provost for Academic Programs acting on the advice of the dean of the school. As such, the Chan Division has established a roster of faculty who are eligible to serve on PhD guidance and dissertation committees. This roster is meant to ensure that our PhD students are well-supported by individuals with the necessary expertise to positively advance training toward becoming successful, independent career scientists.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Considerations for Membership on the PhD Committee-Eligible Faculty Roster:

- Faculty on this roster are required to demonstrate active involvement in research, evidenced by authorship of research publications within the previous three years or other documented effort on active research projects.
- Any faculty in good standing within the university may be considered for this roster; based on their established professional records, tenured and tenure-track faculty are automatically eligible to serve on PhD committees.
- The roster will be reviewed by the Associate Chair and PhD Program Director on an annual basis to add new individuals meeting the criteria and remove those with little or no recent demonstration of research productivity.
- Faculty wishing to join this roster should submit their CV to the PhD Program Director. Students wishing to add faculty to their committee who are not on this roster, should submit the faculty member's CV and a written request describing how the individual meets the University's requirements for inclusion on a PhD committee. Decisions on petitions to be added will be made by the Associate Chair and PhD Program Director.
- Any faculty removed from the roster or denied addition to a committee through the petition process may appeal the decision to the PhD Program Faculty. Final determination will occur by majority vote of the Program Faculty.

Considerations for Serving as a Committee Chair:

- No member of this roster is automatically qualified to serve as a committee chair or primary mentor.
- The committee chair must provide a research environment that supports the competencies intended as an outcome for the immersion component of the PhD curriculum, including: doing scientific work, understanding research as a social practice, developing a career scientist trajectory, and becoming a domain-specific expert.
- Committee chairs must have an earned research doctoral degree (e.g., PhD, ScD, EdD) that included a dissertation component, have an active independent research program, be producing empirical scholarly work as demonstrated by current peer-reviewed journal publications, and have obtained funding for scholarly work.
- Faculty with active independent research programs and evidence of scholarly productivity who do not have active funding or a recent history of sustained funding that wish to serve as a committee chair must provide a detailed description of how immersion requirements would be fulfilled to ensure the student receives high-quality training. The PhD program faculty will review the request as part of the admissions process to make recommendations regarding appropriateness and methods of supporting the faculty member and student (e.g., co-mentoring).
- Final determination of all committee chair assignments will be made jointly by the Associate Dean and PHD Program Director to ensure every student has opportunity to be immersed in a rich research environment and that all required financial support (i.e., stipend, insurance) will be available for a period of five-years.

Committee-Eligible Internal Faculty from Chan Division:

The roster below lists all committee-eligible faculty in the Chan Division, indicating eligibility to either Chair (C) or serve as a member (M). Every committee **must** include at least two internal members, one of whom **must** be tenured (T).

Name	Title	E-mail	Eligibility
Agner, Joy	Assistant Professor	joy.agner@chan.usc.edu	C
Aldrich, Rebecca	Professor of Clinical OT	rebecca.aldrich@chan.usc.edu	M
Angell, Amber	Assistant Professor	amber.angell@chan.usc.edu	C
Ausderau, Karla	Professor	karla.ausderau@chan.usc.edu	C, T
Aziz-Zadeh, Lisa	Professor	lazizzad@chan.usc.edu	C, T
Baranek, Grace	Associate Dean, Chair, Professor	baranek@chan.usc.edu	C, T
Blanche, Erna	Professor of Clinical OT	blanche@chan.usc.edu	M
Carlson, Mike	Professor of Research	mcarlson@chan.usc.edu	M
Cogan, Alison	Assistant Professor	alison.cogan@chan.usc.edu	C
Duker, Leah Stein	Assistant Professor	lstein@chan.usc.edu	C
Gray, Julie McLaughlin	Professor of Clinical OT	jmgray@chan.usc.edu	M
Lawlor, Mary	Associate Chair, Professor	lawlor@chan.usc.edu	C, T
Liew, Sook-Lei	Program Director, Associate Professor	sliew@chan.usc.edu	C, T
Niemiec, Stacey Schepens	Associate Professor of Research	schepens@chan.usc.edu	M
Pineda, Bobbi	Associate Professor	bobbi.pineda@chan.usc.edu	C, T
Pyatak, Beth	Associate Professor	beth.pyatak@chan.usc.edu	C, T
Roll, Shawn	Professor	scroll@chan.usc.edu	C, T
Sideris, John	Professor of Research	sideris@chan.usc.edu	M
Sleight, Alix	Assistant Professor	alix.sleight@chan.usc.edu	C

Committee-Eligible USC Faculty Outside to the Chan Division:

At least one individual from outside the Division is **required** on Guidance Committees; outside members **may** be included on the Dissertation Committee. All current USC faculty (T/TT and RTCP) in good standing with the University may be considered. Outside members should have a profile that demonstrates academic impact and have specific expertise relative to the student's project. Below is a list of USC faculty who have previously served, as an example. Students wishing to add faculty to their committee should submit a request to the PhD Program Director that includes a current CV and a brief rationale for the expertise that will be provided by this faculty member.

Name	Title	E-mail
Baezconde, Lourdes	Professor (Preventive Medicine)	baezcond@usc.edu
Barrio, Concepcion	Associate Professor (Social Work)	cbarrio@usc.edu
Damasio, Antonio	Professor (Neuroscience)	damasio@usc.edu
Henwood, Benjamin	Professor (Social Work)	bhenwood@usc.edu
Mattingly, Cheryl	Professor (Anthropology)	mattingl@usc.edu
Mack, Wendy	Professor (Population and Public Health Sciences)	wmack@usc.edu
Ortiz, Elizabeth	Assistant Professor of Clinical Medicine (Keck)	elizabeth.ortiz@med.usc.edu
Unger, Jennifer	Professor (Population and Public Health Sciences)	unger@usc.edu
Valente, Thomas	Professor (Population and Public Health Sciences)	tvalente@usc.edu

Committee-Eligible Members External to the University:

Up to one committee member external to USC **may** be added to any committee. To be considered, an external member should (1) have a faculty appointment at a research university or a research scientist position at a non-academic institution and (2) demonstrate academic impact in a given area related to the student's project. A current CV must be submitted to the PhD Program Director along with a brief rationale for the expertise that will be provided by this external member. This CV will be included with the committee appointment form to be approved by the Dean and Provost's office.

Policy Name:**Remote Participation in Qualifying Exam or Dissertation Defense****Approval Date:** August 15, 2022**Previous Version:** April 1, 2020

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

Many of the faculty members serving on PhD qualifying and dissertation committees for students in the PhD in Occupational Science program are considered leading experts. As such, these individuals tend to have exceptionally busy schedules, including off-site travel, creating challenges in scheduling oral exams and final dissertation defense meetings. Moreover, as the global community of scholars in occupational science and related academic fields continues to expand, students may now include an external member on their committee. Given advances in the quality of conferencing technology, it is considered appropriate and acceptable for remote participation of committee members.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Graduate School Requirements

Committee members for the PhD Qualifying Examination may participate remotely. For the PhD dissertation defense, some members may participate remotely, but the committee chair and the student must be present unless the Dean of the Ostrow School of Dentistry and the Vice Provost for Graduate Programs provide express written permission for remote participation. A student should contact the PhD Program Director and Student Services Advisor to process such a petition. Members who participate remotely will be noted on the dissertation Approval to Submit forms.

Technical Support

Any student who will have a committee member participating remotely in an oral exam or dissertation defense should ensure that all technical details are satisfactorily arranged in advance of the meeting date. Once scheduled, the student should contact the Chan IT team (it@chan.usc.edu) to review the technology needs for the meeting. When possible, video conferencing is preferred over audio-only conferencing. In addition to supporting video, the use of a web-based conferencing platform (e.g., Zoom, GoToMeeting) is likely most appropriate for these events, such that any presentation or other electronic documents shared by the student during the meeting can also be concurrently viewed by remote participant. The student will work with Chan IT team to identify the most appropriate technology for the meeting, which may include conferencing technology installed within the meeting room or use of mobile technologies.

When preparing for a remote participant, the student should be aware of the following considerations:

- Ensure the reserved room allows for adequate audio communication. That is, members within the room should be able to easily hear a remote participant, and adequate microphones are available such that the remote participant can hear all of the discussion in the room.
- Ensure the room has necessary video capture and projection capability. It is preferred that the remote participant can see the student at a minimum. At the same time, projection should be available for members within the room to see any presentation documents, as well as the remote participant.
- Ensure that the remote participant will have access to stable connection using the chosen platform. If using a phone-based connection, the participant should likely call from either a land-line or ensure that the call is placed from a location with a strong cellular signal; additionally, the remote participant may wish to consider using a headset to improve quality of the audio signal. Similarly, when using a web-based video conferencing, the remote participant should connect from a computer with a strong, stable internet connection.
- To eliminate background noise, improve audio, and ensure privacy during an oral exam or committee defense meeting, the remote participant should call from a private location, avoiding participation in the meeting from a public setting that may be noisy or expose the student to risk of a less than confidential process.
- The student may wish to test the chosen methods with the remote participant from the planned locations prior to the meeting to ensure the connection is stable, such that the meeting will move forward in an efficient manner.
- The student should be mindful of the requirements for public presentation of their dissertation that should be considered when scheduling the location for the dissertation defense that includes a remote participant. (See associated policy: *Dissertation Defense*)

SECTION IV: GENERAL POLICIES

PHD POLICIES & PROCEDURES

Policy Name: USC Graduate School Policies and Procedures

Approval Date: July 31, 2025

Previous Version: N/A

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

A) Funding and Financial Support

PhD students at USC benefit from fellowships, assistantships, and scholarships administered by the Graduate School and various academic units.

Graduate Assistant (GA)

GA is an overarching term used to describe Teaching Assistants (TA), Research Assistants (RA), and Graduate Assistant Lecturers (AL). GA appointments include tuition, fees, and a stipend at or exceeding the university's minimum rate. A 50% GA appointment requires an average of 20 hours per week, which, when combined with academic expectations, aligns with 1 FTE ("full-time equivalent," i.e., 40 hours per week). A 33% GA appointment requires an average of 13 hours per week, while a 25% appointment requires an average of 10 hours per week.

GA appointments are confirmed through an official offer letter generated via the university's TARA system or the Dornsife Student Database. The offer letter should be sent to the student at the beginning of the academic year or the start of a semester. These letters must use the standard Graduate School-approved template and detail the length of the appointment, the time commitment, the department's expectations, and benefits. Ideally, the offer letter should include the total stipend the student will receive, including top-offs. The student should sign the letter, which will be shared with the appropriate financial staff and archived by the department or program.

The university requires TAs, RAs, and ALs to be enrolled in a minimum of 6 units every semester or in equivalent full-time courses such as GRSC 800abz or Dissertation 794.

Fellowships

Fellowships provide financial support to PhD students pursuing their degrees. A fellowship is equivalent to a 50% appointment as a Graduate Assistant and includes tuition, fees, and a stipend at or exceeding the university's minimum rate.

PhD students with a fellowship are expected to commit 20 hours per week to their research. PhD students receiving fellowships may not undertake employment or other time-consuming commitments during the academic year from mid-August through mid-May. The Vice Provost for Academic Programs will review exceptions to this policy through the Graduate School's Petition system. Students on fellowships may not receive funding as GAs simultaneously.

External Fellowships

PhD students are encouraged to seek external fellowships and support that encourage intellectual independence and enhance a student's record. The USC Graduate School provides a variety of resources to support PhD students seeking funding, including information sessions, boot camps, and proposal review workshops. Students who receive one of several designated national awards may be eligible for stipend top-offs from the Graduate School.

PhD students applying for external fellowships that are "institutionally limited," meaning that there is a limit placed on the number of students who can apply, or whose applications require the approval of a university official, should contact the Graduate School well in advance of any deadlines.

Tuition Benefits

A 50% TA, RA, or AL appointment and a fellowship include tuition remission up to 12 units per semester and six units of tuition remission for the summer following the fellowship.

A 33% TA, RA, or AL appointment includes tuition remission up to 10 units per semester of the appointment and five units of tuition remission for the summer following the assignment.

A 25% TA, RA, or AL appointment includes tuition remission up to 8 units per semester of the appointment and four units of tuition remission for the summer following the assignment.

TAs, RAs, and ALs who wish to use tuition units for coursework outside their home program must obtain written approval from their faculty advisor, program director, and the dean of the school. If students do not have prior authorization, they may be responsible for covering the cost of these units. The tuition allowance is non-transferable from semester to semester and will be applied to the student's account in the amount appropriate for the courses they take. Students should contact their staff graduate advisor if tuition is not appropriately credited to their account.

Tuition Refund Insurance

Students should opt out of Tuition Refund Insurance when their tuition is being covered as part of an assistantship or fellowship. If a student has already accepted Tuition Refund Insurance but would like to decline it, they can do so [here](#).

Stipend Distribution

The first stipend of the academic year will generally be available in August. To receive the stipend, students must be registered for classes well in advance of the start of the fall semester. Students are encouraged to work closely with the staff in their programs to ensure their stipend is processed appropriately.

Students switching from Graduate Assistant appointments to a fellowship or vice versa should be aware that the stipend schedules and distributions are different. However, they should still receive the university's mandatory stipend for the academic year.

Stipends are processed through Payroll. Students are highly encouraged to sign up for direct deposit through Workday. Instructions on how to sign up for direct deposit can be found [here](#). Students who do not sign up for direct deposit will have their stipends mailed to the current address listed in myUSC. International students must also have an up-to-date Glacier account to receive their fellowship stipend.

Stipends are not processed on the same day every month. Students on fellowship should expect the stipend early in the month, while students receiving stipends for GA appointments will receive the stipend towards the end of the month. Stipends are not considered late until after the 26th of the month.

Summer Support

During the summer semester (mid-May through mid-August), students receiving support as Graduate Assistants (RA, TA, and ALs) with a 50% appointment and PhD students with fellowships may work additional hours beyond the 20-hour maximum, up to an average of 40 hours per week in other on-campus or off-campus roles.

Students who have received offers of full-time employment off-campus during the summer semester may not simultaneously hold positions as Graduate Assistants or PhD Fellows.

Mandatory Fees, Health, and Dental Insurance

Graduate Assistant appointments and fellowships include tuition, a stipend at the university's minimum rate or higher, health and dental insurance, access to the student health center, the Student Programming Fee, Norman H. Topping Student Aid Fund Fee, and the Transportation Fee.

Students who will not be in Los Angeles for the fall and/or spring semester should contact the health insurance office to see if they need to register for satellite health insurance. Students can visit the [Student Health website](#) for more information about student health insurance.

Parking and Transit Benefits

PhD students may participate in USC's Student U-Pass program. USC offers PhD students a limited number of permits for 2 or 3 days a week (partial week permits). These passes are available on a first-come, first-served basis. Permits are subject to availability at either UPC (150 campus permits total) - Shrine, Figueroa, and Grand, or HSC (50 campus permits total) - Valley and Lot 71

Other Types of Support

Schools and programs may offer scholarships and awards in addition to the GA appointment or fellowship. Scholarships are distinct from fellowships, as they do not include tuition or fees, and the dollar amount does not need to meet the university's minimum stipend requirement. Scholarships are often merit-based and do not require students to be engaged in research or teaching-related activities. Schools and programs may also provide stipend top-offs to PhDs to supplement the university's minimum stipend or a stipend received from an external funder.

General Awards are credited to students' accounts after all other awards (such as tuition) have been processed. If this creates a credit balance, the excess funds will be automatically sent to the student's bank account, provided they have set up eRefund information in their student account and have no recent checks pending, no ACH payments in process, and no active payment plans. If students have not set up eRefund information, the credit will remain in their account to cover future charges while they remain enrolled. If students do not enroll in the following fall or spring term, once the settlement deadline passes, the university will contact them to verify their mailing address and send a physical check for any remaining balance.

PhD students may occasionally be hired as hourly Graduate Student Workers. The duties of Graduate Assistants (TA, RA, and AL) should be distinct from activities performed by hourly graduate student workers.

Collective Bargaining Agreement

Graduate students at USC are represented by the Graduate Student Workers Organizing Committee-UAW Local 872. All graduate students enrolled at USC who are employed as Teaching Assistants, Assistant Lecturers, Research Assistants, or internally-funded Fellows in STEM programs are considered part of the union. The Collective Bargaining Agreement is available on the Graduate School [website](#).

B) GA Appointments and Responsibilities

Eligibility

To be eligible to serve as a Teaching Assistant (TA), Research Assistant (RA), or Graduate Assistant Lecturer (AL), students must:

- have been admitted to a graduate degree program with regular graduate standing
- be enrolled full-time
- be in good academic standing with a GPA of no lower than 3.0
- be verified by their home program to be making satisfactory academic progress toward the degree
- have met the university's standards for proficiency in the English language

The university requires TAs, RAs, and ALs to be enrolled in a minimum of 6 units every semester or in full-time equivalent courses such as GRSC 800abz or Dissertation 794.

Types of GA Appointments

Research Assistant

RAs are responsible for the accuracy, validity, and integrity of the research on which they are contributing. The quality of the research reflects on the student, the faculty supervisor, and the university. Deliberate falsification of research results may result in dismissal from the university. RAs must maintain the confidentiality of the faculty mentor's professional activities and research before presentation or publication, in accordance with existing practices and policies in the area of research activity. In the publication and presentation of research produced as an RA, the student must acknowledge the contributions of the faculty supervisor and other members of the research team. RAs should assume responsibility for making proper use of the intellectual, instructional, and physical environment in which they are conducting research. The nature of some research projects may require that the RA be available during holiday periods or semester breaks. Students and their faculty supervisors should discuss expectations and time off before the appointment is accepted. In our program, PhD students are typically appointed as Research Assistants.

Teaching Assistant

The responsibilities of TAs are carried out under the established supervision of the departmental faculty. The duties of TAs may include the following: reading course texts and materials, assisting during lectures, leading discussion sections or lab meetings, holding office hours each week, responding to student concerns, grading course assignments and exams, leading and monitoring lab exercises, participating in regular meetings with supervising faculty and other TAs, designing and leading review sessions, serving as a liaison between the instructor and students, upholding the university's policy on academic integrity, and assisting with the management of the course details. The Center for Excellence in Teaching is a good resource for support and information. As with faculty at USC, TAs are evaluated by their students. TAs should review evaluations at the end of each semester, after grades have been submitted. TAs are encouraged to keep a record of student feedback for their teaching portfolio.

Graduate Assistant Lecturer

Graduate Assistant Lecturers (Als) teach in the Freshman Writing Program, language programs in the Dornsife College of Letters, Arts, and Sciences, the American Language Institute (ALI), and other programs approved by the Vice Provost for Academic Affairs. Assistant lecturers may serve as instructors of record. This position does not apply to our Division, but the information is included for completeness.

Expected Effort

A graduate student's first responsibility is to their doctoral research and studies. For this reason, GA awards cannot exceed 20 hours per week for a 50% appointment or 10 hours per week for a 25% appointment, averaged over a semester. Students receiving a 50% GA appointment may not undertake employment or other time-consuming commitments during the academic year from mid-August through mid-May. Students receiving a 25% GA appointment during the academic year may be eligible for part-time, off-campus employment.

F-1 and J-1 International students with a 25% GA appointment may be eligible for part-time [Curricular Practical Training](#) (CPT), if they meet the CPT eligibility requirements, and if the academic department signs off on the CPT request. The Vice Provost for Academic Programs reviews exceptions to this policy through the Graduate School's Petition system.

Appointment Periods

Semester	Approximate Start Date	Approximate End Date
Fall	August 16	December 31
Spring	January 1	May 15
Summer	May 16	August 15

Financial Support

All TA, RA, and AL appointments include tuition units, health insurance, access to the student health center, and a stipend. Each year, the Provost sets the base stipend for TAs, RAs, and ALs. Schools, programs, and PIs may offer appointments with higher amounts.

Tuition Remission

The tuition allowance is non-transferable from semester to semester and will be applied to the student's account in the amount appropriate for the courses s/he takes. Students should contact the program of appointment if the tuition is not credited to their account.

A 50% TA, RA, or AL appointment includes tuition remission up to 12 units per semester of the appointment and six units of tuition remission for the summer following the assignment.

A 33% TA, RA, or AL appointment includes tuition remission up to 10 units per semester of the appointment and five units of tuition remission for the summer following the assignment.

A 25% TA, RA, or AL appointment includes tuition remission up to 8 units per semester of the appointment and four units of tuition remission for the summer following the assignment.

Tuition Refund Insurance

Students should opt out of Tuition Refund Insurance when their tuition is being covered as part of an assistantship or fellowship. If a student has already accepted Tuition Refund Insurance but would like to decline in, they can do so [here](#). Students should opt out of Tuition Refund Insurance before late fees are assessed.

GA-related Warning and Dismissal

PhD students serving as a GA may be disciplined, suspended or discharged for absenteeism; lateness; failure to adhere to stated course or research deadlines; failure to aid in the preparation of course or research materials; failure to hold sections, labs, or office hours; failure to grade, failure to perform assigned lab duties, willful abandonment of teaching or research assignments; failure to comply with applicable policies. Students and their faculty supervisors should discuss expectations and time off before the appointment is accepted. Discipline will only be for just cause and each case will be taken very seriously, recognizing that the Collective Bargaining Unit also may need to be involved.

When possible, a conference meeting will be held with the student and their supervisor before a suspension or discharge. The student may request to have Union representation present at the conference meeting. The University will notify the student and the Union in writing of the issuance of discipline or any investigative leave.

C) Tax Information

General tax information for PhD students is available on the [Student Financial Services website](#). USC staff cannot provide tax advice. We strongly advise students to contact the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) or consult with a tax professional for tax-related questions.

International Student Considerations

International students may wish to consult the [Office of International Services \(OIS\)](#) website, which offers general information about tax exemptions, tax-related forms, and access to Sprintax, a tax preparation software. OIS cannot advise on tax-related issues. Students should consult with a qualified tax professional for individual assistance.

Graduate Students Supported by Fellowships

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) provides information regarding the tax treatment of fellowship awards. The IRS also provides information regarding the 1098-T form. Some education-related items that are included in your fellowship may not be taxable, for example, tuition remission. Other items, such as living expenses including room and board, transportation, and entertainment, are generally considered taxable.

While receiving a fellowship, domestic students will not receive a W-2 form. However, according to the IRS, you must report all taxable amounts, whether or not you received a Form W-2. If you have taxable income from your fellowship, you may need to make estimated tax payments. For more information, see Pub. 505, Tax Withholding and Estimated Tax, and the IRS help on estimated taxes.

International students (non-resident aliens for tax purposes) on fellowship receive their stipends through University Payroll Services. The stipend may be treated as taxable income by the IRS. Therefore, the university may be obligated to withhold income taxes. The amount of this tax withholding depends on the individual's circumstances, including their total stipend and the status of the tax treaty between their home country and the United States. Tuition remission provided to an international student on fellowship is not taxable.

Graduate Students Supported by Graduate Assistantships

The stipends provided via the University Payroll Services to domestic and international students who are serving as TA's, RA's, and AL's are treated as taxable income by the Internal Revenue Service. Therefore, the university may be obligated to report the income and withhold income taxes. The amount of this tax withholding depends on the individual circumstances of the student, including the total stipend, the instructions provided by the student on Form W-4, and, if an international student, the status of the tax treaty between their home country and the United States, as well as Form 8233. Tuition remission provided to a TA, RA, or AL is not reportable or taxable.

Students Hired as Graduate Student Workers (Hourly)

The payment that domestic and international students receive as hourly graduate student workers is treated as taxable income by the Internal Revenue Service. The amount of this tax withholding depends upon the individual circumstances of the student, including the total amount paid per pay period, the instructions provided by the student on Form W-4, and, if an international student, the status of the tax treaty of their tax residence country and the completion of IRS Form 8233.

D) Health Insurance and Health Center Access

The Engemann Student Health Center website provides information about the USC health insurance, on-campus medical services, student counseling services, and wellness. Students can also make appointments through the website. The Engemann Student Health Center is used primarily by students based at UPC.

The Eric Cohen Student Health Center website provides information about the USC health insurance, on-campus medical services, student counseling services, and wellness. Students can also make appointments through the website. The Eric Cohen Student Health Center is used primarily by students based at HSC.

E) Academic Calendar

Holidays

PhD students with graduate assistantship (GA) appointments will observe the academic holiday schedule. If a student serving as a GA is unable to observe a holiday due to the obligations of their appointment, they will be provided with an alternative day off instead of the missed holiday during the same semester, with the advance agreement of their designated faculty supervisor and, as needed, the Director of Graduate Studies.

Unique to our Chan OS PhD Program, we also provide students with wellness time such that the total time off for students across holidays, sick days and any bereavement taken (see *Leaves, Sick Days and Bereavement Policy* below) should total no more than 6 weeks per year, starting August 15 of each year, and concluding August 14 of the following year. Time off does not carry over between years.

F) Resources, Support, and Problem Resolution

Child Care Fund

A childcare subsidy of up to \$1,800 is available per semester for each child under the age of six. The subsidy is considered taxable income and is subject to reporting on the appropriate forms. The student is solely responsible and liable for any tax liability resulting from the subsidy provided to the student or their dependent.

If a student has a loan, particularly Direct Loans, the Child Care grants could reduce the student's loan eligibility. Students should contact the Financial Aid Office to confirm.

International students should note the following:

- To remain eligible for this grant, students must maintain valid F-1 or J-1 status, and their dependents must maintain F-2 or J-2 status.
- This grant cannot be used as proof of funding for bringing dependents (spouse and/or children) to the U.S. under F-2 or J-2 status. Information regarding proof of funding for dependents is available on the Office of International Services [website](#).
- J-1 students who receive this grant must request a new DS-2019 with updated funding information by submitting a Financial Update Request through the [Trojan International Portal](#). Once processed, a new DS-2019 will be issued.
- Students in F-1 status on post-completion Optional Practical Training (OPT) or STEM OPT Extension, as well as J-1 students on post-completion Academic Training, are not eligible to apply for or receive this grant.

Child Care Fund Eligibility Criteria

The student must be a graduate student worker in the union bargaining unit in the semester in which the provider expense was incurred. Applicants must furnish supporting documentation as reasonably requested by USC. Eligible childcare providers include:

- A licensed childcare center
- A licensed family childcare provider
- A licensed after-school program
- A daytime summer camp program
- An in-home provider with a Social Security or Tax I.D. number. An in-home provider cannot be a spouse, relative, child under age 19, or anyone who lives in the same household as the student. Nannies and nanny shares are covered if the caregiver has a valid Social Security number or Tax ID Number.

Funds are not available for overnight camp, team registration fees, extracurricular activities, or club sports. The program's primary intent must be to provide childcare while the parent is working. Summer programs can be considered a childcare program if they meet the requirements above.

Applications are processed in the order received. Applications will not be accepted or processed when funds for the academic year have been exhausted. More information is available on the [Graduate School Website](#).

Short Term Hardship Grant

Short-term hardship grants are available to students with dependents. Grants are subject to a cap of \$2,500 per graduate student worker per semester. Grants may not be used for expenses that are covered by another fund or account. The grant is taxable income and is therefore subject to taxation and reporting on the appropriate forms. The student is solely responsible and liable for any tax liability resulting from the grant.

Short Term Hardship Eligibility

The student must be a graduate student worker in the bargaining unit in the semester in which the provider expense was incurred. The student must have a dependent, and they must furnish supporting documentation as reasonably requested by USC.

Hardships may include, but are not limited to, events resulting from a sudden or unexpected illness, imminent loss of housing, loss of property due to natural disaster, or medical, dental, and vision expenditures not covered by any other source.

If the dependent is covered by a health insurance plan, unanticipated emergency or short-term out-of-pocket expenses are not covered by the insurance plan. If the dependent is not covered by a health insurance plan, unanticipated emergency or short-term out-of-pocket medical expenses incurred by the Graduate Student Worker.

Applications are processed in the order received. Applications will not be accepted or processed when funds for the academic year have been exhausted. More information is available on the [Graduate School Website](#).

Resources for International Students

The Office of International Services (OIS) supports F-1 and J-1 international students, helping them maintain immigration status, navigate complex immigration regulations, and succeed academically and personally. Key services include immigration advising, travel advising, and guidance on employment rules, such as on-campus work, CPT, and OPT.

With expertise in F-1 & J-1 immigration regulations, OIS advisors collaborate with government agencies and university departments to ensure students comply with their visa requirements while at USC.

For more information, please visit the OIS website at ois.usc.edu.

OIS advisors are available for [one-on-one meetings](#) daily via Zoom - no appointment is necessary :

- Mondays, Wednesdays, & Fridays: 1 PM-3 PM
- Tuesdays & Thursdays: 10 AM-12 PM
- J-1 advisors are available Tuesdays, Wednesdays, & Thursdays

Lactation Support

PhD students have access to spaces for expressing and storing breast milk. Rooms on the UPC and HSC campus are listed [here](#).

American Language Institute

Many international students are from countries where English is not spoken as a native language. The American Language Institute (ALI) provides classes and other resources to assist international undergraduate and graduate students in improving their English and adapting to an American university program. Before they can be cleared to begin teaching at USC, all international Teaching Assistants are required to take part in a training program run by ALI.

Center for Excellence in Teaching

The [Center for Excellence in Teaching \(CET\)](#) is the university's think tank for innovative teaching. As a faculty-led initiative, CET draws upon the talents of experienced faculty to promote a commitment to excellence in teaching, learning, and scholarship. CET provides training and resources to improve teaching at USC, including supporting faculty and Teaching Assistants as they devise new courses and develop enriching out-of-class activities. CET offers seminars and lectures throughout the semester on a variety of topics and provides a training session at the beginning of each semester for Teaching Assistants.

Library Resources

[Accessibility Training for Teaching Assistants at USC](#)

Organized and developed by a collaboration between the Undergraduate Student Government (USG), Graduate Student Government (GSG), and USC Libraries as a resource for accessibility training for teaching assistants.

Accommodations

The University provides reasonable accommodations to PhD students who are disabled or become disabled and need reasonable accommodations to perform the essential functions of their job. More information is available from USC's [Office of Student Accessibility Services \(OSAS\)](#).

Graduate Student Government

The mission of GSG is to enhance the graduate and professional student experience by serving as the face of the student body. GSG is responsible for managing student resources efficiently, providing a voice for the student community, building a community that fosters interdisciplinary exchanges, and informing students about their rights, resources, and opportunities. Elected officers, senators who represent all schools and departments, and special interest committees tackle issues specific to graduate and professional students and provide venues for interaction beyond the academic realm. An Executive Board of 29 officers is selected every spring by the GSG senators and outgoing executive board members. The Executive Board oversees the daily operations of GSG and works closely with the Senate to promote graduate and professional student interests within the USC administration and academic departments.

More information is available from the [Graduate Student Government](#) website.

Other Support

Campus Support and Intervention (CSI)

CSI connects with members of the USC community when they need support in achieving their academic, professional, and personal goals.

(213) 740-0411

uscsupport@usc.edu

USC Kortschak Center for Learning and Creativity

KCLC offers outreach, programming, and individual coaching services to USC students and departments to promote a campus-wide understanding of learning differences and their potential to facilitate creativity and academic excellence.

(213) 740-7884

kortschakcenter@usc.edu

Office of the Ombuds

Available to faculty, staff, and students experiencing University-related concerns, conflicts, or challenging situations.

(213) 821-9556 (University Park Campus)

upcombuds@usc.edu

(323) 442-0341 (Health Sciences Campus)

hscombuds@usc.edu

Counseling and Mental Health

Provides counseling and support for all students.

(213) 740-9355 (WELL)

Office of Student Accessibility Services (OSAS)

Provides support services for individuals with disabilities.

(213) 740-0776 (University Park Campus)

(323) 442-1000 (Health Sciences Campus)

osasfrontdesk@usc.edu

G) Post-Graduation

Accessing Your Dissertation

The dissertation manuscript will be available for search and download from the [USC Digital Library](#) shortly after completing all of the steps in the Thesis Center, or after any requested restriction expires.

Official Transcripts and Diploma

The Registrar's Office oversees the distribution of university diplomas and transcripts, in compliance with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). Most diplomas are mailed to the permanent address on record six to eight weeks after a degree is conferred. More information is available on their [website](#).

Policy Name: *Leave of Absence, Sick Days and Bereavement*
Approval Date: July 31, 2025
Previous Version: January 24, 2018

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

USC recognizes that personal and health needs may on occasion interfere with a student's ability to remain in his/her academic program. The university's goal in these circumstances is to support students so they are able to address their needs and return to complete their program.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Students in good standing and making satisfactory progress toward a degree who must interrupt studies for compelling reasons may petition for a leave for a stated period, usually one semester. A leave must be requested before the drop-add deadline and approved by the dean of the degree program, the committee chair, and the department chair or program director. The request should include a plan for academic progress upon return. During the leave period, a student is not entitled to assistance from the faculty or use of university facilities.

If granted, the leave is recorded on the student's transcript, and the leave period is not counted in the time allowed to complete degree requirements. A leave of absence may be allowed for one semester at a time, up to a maximum of four semesters. Students who fail to apply for a leave of absence or for whom a leave has been denied (or has expired) are subject to policies governing continuous enrollment and readmission.

International students should consult with the Office of International Services before considering a leave of absence.

Parental Leave for PhD Students

PhD students are eligible for a one-semester paid parental leave if they:

- Are pregnant or are the primary caregiver of their newborn child or adopted child under six years of age
- Have completed at least one semester in the PhD program and are making good progress
- Were admitted with a Multi-Year Funding Offer (MYFO) letter that includes a four or five-year "package" consisting of support through any combination of teaching assistantship, research assistantship, and fellowship.
- F-1 and J-1 international students must additionally qualify for a [medical reduced course load](#) (RCL) if they plan to remain in the U.S. while taking parental leave

Parental leave may be taken during the semester in which the child is born or adopted, or in the semester immediately following. A student on an approved parental leave will receive tuition, fees, and a stipend. The Graduate School provides the base stipend. Schools and programs provide the tuition, fees, and a top-off the base stipend to the level specified in the student's Multi-Year Funding Offer letter.

Students should enroll in GRSC 804 to ensure continued access to health insurance and the monthly stipend. While on parental leave, students are not eligible for federal financial aid. Students with loans should consult with the Office of Financial Aid before beginning parental leave. F-1 and J-1 international students should consult with the Office of International Services to discuss parental leave and ensure there are no unforeseen issues related to their visa status.

Students interested in taking parental leave should consult with the staff graduate advisor in their department or program. The program will submit a petition to the Graduate School and will be asked to provide a copy of the initial funding letter, a statement as the primary caregiver, and one of the following:

- Verification of pregnancy signed by a US doctor (available at USC Student Health Center), or
- Birth certificate for the infant child, or
- Statement of adoption from an adoption agency

Health Leaves for PhD Students

Health Leaves are administered by [USC Campus Support and Intervention](#) (CSI) in consultation with the student's program and the Graduate School, pursuant to the university's Student Health Leave of Absence Policy.

PhD students interested in a health leave should meet with a CSI health leave coordinator to develop an individualized health and academic plan. These plans are developed through an interactive process involving the Health Leave Coordinator, the student, the academic unit, and the Graduate School.

F-1 and J-1 international students are eligible to request a health leave. They must meet with an advisor in the Office of International Services (OIS) before finalizing plans for the health leave. Students can either return to their home country or, if a doctor recommends, remain in the U.S. for medical treatment. The student should request the health leave through a CSI health leave coordinator, who works with the student, their healthcare provider, and the Office of International Services (OIS) to determine the necessary steps to approve the leave request.

A paid health leave, including tuition, fees, and at least the minimum stipend, is available for one semester. A second semester covering tuition and fees may be available if necessary. The Vice Provost for Academic Programs must review and approve additional health leaves beyond two semesters.

Sick Days and Bereavement Leave

PhD students may use up to five days of sick leave per Academic Year due to illness or to care for a family member. Students may also have up to five days of bereavement leave per Academic Year for the loss of a family member.

Readmission

A PhD student who leaves the university without obtaining a formal leave of absence, or otherwise violates the continuous enrollment policy, is not automatically readmitted. A student wishing to apply for readmission to a graduate degree program must first obtain the recommendation of the department chair or program director and submit an Application for Readmission to the dean of the degree program.

If the student's cumulative GPA is below 3.0 or if readmission is sought after more than two years of an unapproved absence, the Application for Readmission must be sent to the Graduate School for approval.

The readmission approval process must be completed by the first day of classes for the term in which the resumption of graduate studies is sought. Approvals to be readmitted are based on the academic merits of the student's request and the likelihood of academic success and completion of the degree. If readmitted, the student will be subject to all of the current University Catalogue requirements for the degree in effect at the time of readmission. Individual exceptions to the Catalogue year require the approval of the dean of the degree program. Students seeking readmission after an absence of more than 10 years may be required to reapply to the university.

A student may not be readmitted into a terminated program of study. The student must either be subject to retroactive enrollment or admission to the new program of study with the corresponding catalog requirements.

Policy Name:**PhD Student Conference Travel Requests/Reimbursement****Approval Date:** September 3, 2025**Previous Version:** August 15, 2019

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

Professional conferences provide opportunities for pre-doctoral trainees to gain exposure to emerging areas of science, network with experts and scholars in a given field, and develop skill in the provision of scholarly presentations. Moreover, attending conferences within our discipline (i.e., SSO:USA, AOTA, OT Summit, WFOT, AOTA Specialty Conferences) promotes engagement with other OS/OT scholars and the advancement of OS/OT research. To ensure we support these important activities, both within and outside the OS/OT scholar community that are vital to the growth of career scientists, we have established the following guidelines for PhD students to request and use travel funds from the Division.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Annual* Reimbursement Allowances:

- | | |
|-------------------|--|
| Year 1: | \$1,000 maximum, \$500 of which may only be used for an OS/OT conference (i.e., limit \$500 for non-OS/OT conferences) |
| Years 2-3: | \$2,000 maximum, \$1,000 of which may only be used for an OS/OT conference (i.e., limit \$1,000 for non-OS/OT conferences) |
| Years 4-5: | \$2,500 maximum, \$1,000 of which may only be used for an OS/OT conference (i.e., limit \$1,500 for non-OS/OT conferences) |
| Year 6+: | Division-funded travel reimbursement considered on a case-by-case basis |

*Annual allowances follow the Division budget cycle from July 1 through June 30.

Reimbursable Expenses:

- Registration and poster printing costs; airfare, parking, mileage, and/or taxi/train; and lodging.
- Meals are not reimbursable and per diem will not be provided.

Qualifications:

- An accepted, first-author presentation (e.g., poster, paper) at the conference is required.
- If not first-author, the active role as a co-presenter at the conference must be explained.

Exceptions:

- Students in Year 1 are not required to have a presentation to receive reimbursement.
- Reimbursement without an accepted presentation or above the maximum may be approved with a compelling rationale as to why attendance is vital (e.g., specialized training, vital networking opportunity, conference only occurs once every few years).

Process for Making Requests:

- Requests must be made using the PhD Student Travel Request form at least 30 days prior to travel.
 - The form can be found on the Chan Division website: <https://chan.usc.edu/resources/forms-and-documents>
- All requests must be vetted and signed off by the student's Advisor and submitted to the Division Budget Technician.
- All requests will be reviewed by the Associate Dean and/or PhD Program Director.
- Once approved, travel expense forms along with receipts indicating type of payment must be submitted to the Division Budget Technician within 30-days of the completion of travel.

Additional Considerations:

- Funds may be split across as many conferences as deemed appropriate by the student's Advisor.
- Travel should not substantially impact the student's ability to attend classes, fulfill research assistant duties, or make adequate progress toward program requirements.
- Any remaining funds not allocated at the end of the annual period (i.e., June 30), cannot be carried-over or applied to the following academic year.
- There is no limit to obtaining other travel support from outside the Division; thus, students are strongly encouraged to identify and apply for funding from other sources:
 - Students should have a discussion with their Advisor to determine if any grant funds are available and appropriate to be used prior to making requests to the Division.
 - Travel awards are often provided by professional organizations and foundations.
 - The USC Graduate Student Government Conference Travel Program provides annual reimbursement for travel to and presentation at professional conferences up to \$500 (\$1000 international):
<http://gsg.usc.edu/student-funding/conference-travel-grants/>
- Annual implementation of these guidelines is dependent upon the availability of funds. The Associate Dean has full discretion over the all travel request and reimbursement decisions.

Reimbursement:

You must set up your account within the University's Travel and Reimbursement Portal, **Concur**. Once you have logged into the Concur system and completed your profile, you will need to provide Delegate Expense access to the Division's Budget Technician. In addition to using the online portal, you can download the Concur App in order to upload receipts while you are on your trip: <https://procurement.usc.edu/travel/plan-book/mobile-apps>

Once you have your concur account activated, all reimbursement requests will be processed in this manner. Following approval of your request, the Division's Budget Technician will establish an expense report within the Concur Travel and Reimbursement Portal noting the approved amount for the trip. Upon returning from the trip you can directly enter your expenses within the portal or submit the reimbursement form with your receipts to the Budget Technician who will work with you to prepare the report. If you enter expenses directly, please be sure to contact the Budget Technician via e-mail to have her review the report prior to clicking "submit" on the report.

Please note that reimbursements submitted later than 30 days following a trip must include a justification as to why the expense reimbursement could not be submitted within 30 days. Furthermore, according to university policy, any request that is submitted later than 60 days following a trip will be considered taxable income to the employee per IRS regulations. Further, the submission date, is the date that your reimbursement request is submitted by you or your delegate in the Concur portal. Be sure to allow plenty of time for your delegates and for the possible back and forth that may occur while seeking clarification on expenses.

Review the appended documents for more information on submitting expenses for reimbursement.

Policy Name:**Requirements to Walk in Spring Commencement****Approval Date:** March 23, 2018**Previous Version:** N/A

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

Commencement is a time to publicly acknowledge and celebrate the completion of degree requirements. This opportunity is especially relevant to our PhD students who have spent more than 4-years working on their degree and participate in a hooding ceremony to welcome them to the community of scholars. Although students in our PhD program may successfully defend their dissertations and qualify for posting of their degree in any term, USC only hosts commencement once per year at the end of Spring Semester. Given the potential mismatch between completion of the degree requirements and timing of graduation, there can be confusion as to which Spring Commencement a student is able to walk. This policy provides guidelines to ensure that all students are aware of the deadlines that determine participation in a given Spring Commencement ceremony.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Graduate School Deadlines

In general, any student may walk in commencement who has successfully defended his or her dissertation work, submits the written manuscript, and has all documents accepted by the University during the academic year for which the commencement is conducted. Students should review the deadlines for each semester posted by the graduate school.

Please refer to: <http://graduateschool.usc.edu/current-students/thesis-dissertation-submission/submission-deadlines/>

Any student who has not met either the Fall or Spring deadlines, will only be permitted to walk in commencement if there is a *high probability* for successful defense and final acceptance of the written dissertation by the published deadline for the upcoming Summer term, such that the degree would be posted to the student's record in August. Any student who is unable to meet requirements for posting of the degree in the Summer term, will be required to participate in the commencement ceremony that corresponds to the academic year in which the degree is conferred.

Internal Deadlines

- **February 15:** Students wishing to participate should notify the PhD Program Director and Division's Degree Progress Coordinator in writing (e.g., e-mail) of their intention to walk at the upcoming spring commencement. This message should include the **title of the dissertation and a description of plans** to successfully meet all graduate school deadlines, which includes the following information:
 - The status of written dissertation work (e.g., percentage of completion), and, if not already completed, the anticipated date a final draft will be provided to the student's committee for review.
 - The date of the completed or upcoming dissertation defense.
 - Any other information that clearly supports the plans for the student to meet the required deadlines.
- **April 15:** The advisor of any student planning to walk who *has not met* the deadlines for Spring posting of their degree, must notify the PhD Program Director in writing (e.g., e-mail) of the plans that will ensure the student successfully meets all deadlines for the upcoming Summer term. Specifically, the advisor should verify that the student is making satisfactory progress on the written document and confirm the exact date that the dissertation defense is scheduled. Any other information that clearly supports the student's plans to successfully meet the deadlines for Summer term should be included. Any student who will not meet the Summer deadlines but will complete the work prior to the start of Fall semester may petition the PhD program committee for approval to participate in the Division's satellite ceremony; however, the student should be aware that his or her name will not appear in the University commencement program until the following academic year.

Early Submission Option

Students are exempted from the requirement to register in 794 in a given semester if they have met the continuous enrollment requirement, complete the Checklist, and upload the manuscript to the Graduate School by the add/drop deadline for that semester. It is recommended that students submit the documents and have a complete *Approval to Submit* form at least a week before the add/drop deadline in order to allow time to upload the manuscript.

PHD POLICIES & PROCEDURES

Policy Name:	Academic integrity
Approval Date:	July 31, 2025
Previous Version:	N/A

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

Academic integrity depends on our collective commitment as a university community. Reporting academic integrity issues helps reinforce academic standards and preserves the value of USC degrees.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

If faculty suspect academic misconduct on any work that a student submits (in final or draft form), they are required to submit a report to the Office of Academic Integrity (OAI).

Information about the university's academic integrity policies is available in the USC [Student Handbook](#) and from the [Office of Academic Integrity](#).

Because PhD students have already earned a bachelor's degree and are enrolled in advanced academic training, they are held to a higher standard of integrity in all academic work. This standard reflects the heightened ethical expectations of advanced scholarship, the student's potential role as a mentor and instructor to others, and the impact of their conduct on the broader research and academic community.

OAI is responsible for investigating and adjudicating academic integrity violations. If OAI determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, that a PhD student has committed an academic integrity violation, there is a presumption that dismissal from the academic program without conferral of the degree is the appropriate outcome. This presumption applies regardless of whether the violation occurred in coursework, research, examinations, or instructional settings.

In such cases, doctoral students are not entitled to a Review Panel under the general disciplinary process. Instead, the findings and determination of responsibility will be referred directly to the student's academic program. The program will issue a decision letter that includes outcomes and any additional information relevant to the student's academic standing. No prior written warning is required to support dismissal under this policy. The program's decision will be provided to OAI for record-keeping, and a transcript notation indicating program dismissal for academic integrity reasons will be entered.

PhD students may not appeal the findings or sanctions through the general academic integrity appeal process. Instead, their sole opportunity to challenge the finding or rebut the presumption of dismissal is through the Academic Dismissal Appeal process described in the Student Handbook.

PhD students are expected to familiarize themselves with the full list of academic integrity standards and examples of violations outlined in the USC Student Handbook, including but not limited to plagiarism, cheating, unauthorized collaboration, falsification, misrepresentation, and misuse of instructional materials. Ignorance of policy or lack of intent is not a valid defense.

In cases where a student has registered for a course on a Pass/No Pass (P/NP) basis and an academic integrity violation has occurred, a penalty letter grade will be assigned when a grading option exists. A Pass or No Pass determination is only issued in these circumstances when a letter grade cannot be issued. A student may not withdraw from a course in which they committed or were accused of committing an academic integrity violation.

PHD POLICIES & PROCEDURES

Policy Name:
and tools

Use of generative artificial intelligence (AI) or similar technologies

Approval Date: December 12, 2025

Previous Version: May 7, 2024

GENERAL PURPOSE OF POLICY

Generative artificial intelligence (AI) is an emerging and rapidly evolving area of technology that has enormous potential to change the academic landscape. This policy is being issued to address the use of generative AI and similar technologies and tools by PhD students.

PROCEDURES / GUIDELINES

Division Policies

At present, students are not allowed to use generative AI or any other AI tool in their academic writing assignments for the Division, such as the first year screening, qualifying exam, dissertation work, or course work (unless explicitly assigned by an instructor for a course). Tools for basic grammar and spelling corrections (e.g., single word corrections) are permitted. This is so that the student may be evaluated on the basis of their own abilities, without having to disentangle the student's voice and thoughts from AI-generated voices or thoughts.

It is acceptable to use generative AI for other works as allowed by other institutions, for instance generative AI for manuscripts or grants, as long as this is allowed by the institution and the student follows all rules and regulations, such as citing the contributions of generative AI.

As we note that this is a constantly evolving area, we will revisit this policy at a minimum annually, or as needed as, and follow best-practice guidelines.

Graduate School Policies

We also recognize that students may seek general guidance from USC and the USC Graduate School on the use of generative AI in scholarly works, which may also emerge and evolve over time.